

On the discriminants of forms with Arf invariant one.

Hambleton, Ian; Madsen, Ib
pp. 142 - 166



Terms and Conditions

The Göttingen State and University Library provides access to digitized documents strictly for noncommercial educational, research and private purposes and makes no warranty with regard to their use for other purposes.

Some of our collections are protected by copyright. Publication and/or broadcast in any form (including electronic) requires prior written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library.

Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms and Conditions. With the usage of the library's online system to access or download a digitized document you accept these Terms and Conditions.

Reproductions of material on the web site may not be made for or donated to other repositories, nor may be further reproduced without written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library

For reproduction requests and permissions, please contact us. If citing materials, please give proper attribution of the source.

Contact:

Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek

Digitalisierungszentrum

37070 Goettingen

Germany

Email: gdz@www.sub.uni-goettingen.de

Purchase a CD-ROM

The Goettingen State and University Library offers CD-ROMs containing whole volumes / monographs in PDF for Adobe Acrobat. The PDF-version contains the table of contents as bookmarks, which allows easy navigation in the document. For availability and pricing, please contact:

Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Goettingen - Digitalisierungszentrum

37070 Goettingen, Germany, Email: gdz@www.sub.uni-goettingen.de

On the discriminants of forms with Arf invariant one

By *Ian Hambleton*¹⁾ at Hamilton and *Ib Madsen*²⁾ at Aarhus

If A denotes the ring of integers in a dyadic local field E with trivial involution, then the Arf invariant of a quadratic form (with unimodular symmetric bilinearization) on a free A -module is determined by its discriminant in $E^\times/E^{\times 2}$. In this case either one of these invariants together with the rank classifies the form up to isometry [A], [O'M], § 93, [W 1], p. 66.

This fact is the basic for understanding the map between surgery obstruction groups [W 2]:

$$(0.1) \quad \Psi_i : L_i^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi) \longrightarrow L_i^K(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \pi)$$

induced by inclusion of scalars $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2$, where $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi$ is the group ring of the finite group π over the 2-adic integers and $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2$ denotes the 2-adic completion of the rational numbers. The map Ψ_i is the key to systematic calculations of the surgery obstruction groups of $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ (compare [W 2], § 4.3). In this paper we calculate (0.1) for 2-hyperelementary groups and express the answer in terms of representation theory. The result is used in [HM 2] to tabulate $L_i^{\langle -1 \rangle}(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$, and correct the calculations of $L_i^p(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ given in [BK], [K 1], and [K 2].

A 2-hyperelementary group is a semi-direct product $\pi = \mathbb{Z}/m \rtimes \sigma$, where \mathbb{Z}/m is the cyclic group of odd order m , σ is a 2-group and the action of σ on \mathbb{Z}/d is via a homomorphism $t : \sigma \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times$. To define hermitian and quadratic forms, the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ must also be equipped with an involution. For example, the standard involution induced by

$$g \longrightarrow g^{-1}, \quad \text{for } g \in \pi$$

arises from surgery on oriented manifolds. Our main result is Theorem 1.16, where the answer is given for an arbitrary geometric anti-structure (see (1.5)). This result covers all the involutions usually encountered in surgery theory.

¹⁾ Partially supported by NSERC grant A4000.

²⁾ Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-8610730(1) and the Danish Research Council.

The L -theory and the map (0. 1) have a natural direct sum splitting indexed by the divisors of m [HM 1], § 6, and for $d|m$ the d -component $L_i^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2\pi)(d)$ is isomorphic to the L -group $L_i^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^t\sigma)$ of the twisted group ring. In particular it is enough to consider the d -component of $\mathbb{Z}/d \rtimes \sigma$. After reducing modulo the radical, we see that the domain of $\Psi_i(d)$ is just a direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}/2$'s, (detected by the Arf invariant if i is even) one for each factor of $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^t\bar{\sigma}$ with trivial involution on its centre ($\bar{\sigma} = t(\sigma)$). The range of Ψ_i is the direct sum of L -groups of complete local dyadic fields (the centres of the involution-invariant irreducible rational representations of π which are faithful on \mathbb{Z}/d). These are determined [W 2] by their discriminants and Hasse invariants.

The irreducible complex characters of $\mathbb{Z}/d \rtimes \sigma$ which are faithful on \mathbb{Z}/d are induced up from $\chi \otimes \xi$ on $\mathbb{Z}/d \times \sigma_1$, where χ is a linear faithful character on \mathbb{Z}/d and ξ is an irreducible character of $\sigma_1 = \ker t$. This is a 1-1 correspondence on the orbits of the conjugation action of σ/σ_1 [S 1]. A character is *type I* if the involution induced on the centre field of the associated simple algebra is trivial, otherwise *type II*. The simple involution-invariant summand of $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_d]^t\sigma$ containing the induced character $(\chi \otimes \xi)^*$ is further classified by a sub-type (O, Sp, GL or U). These depend also on the action of σ/σ_1 and the anti-structure. Our main result shows that the map $\Psi_i(d)$ is either injective or zero and that the types of the simple summands of $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2\pi$ corresponding to type I linear characters of σ_1 are enough to decide this. The projection of the image of $\Psi_{2n}(d)$ however, is non-trivial also at certain type II linear characters of σ_1 . The precise result is stated in Theorem 1. 16.

The calculation of (0. 1) is given in [W 2], 4. 3. 2, assuming σ abelian (including the non-oriented involutions), and is implicit in [LM] for the case when σ_1 is abelian. Compare also [C], § 4, for an overlapping result assuming the standard involution. For $d=1$ and any geometric anti-structure the map (0. 1) was computed in [HTW 1]. An incorrect assertion about this map is contained in [K 1], 4. 23, 4. 24, [K 2], 3. 5, [BK], 3. 4. The simplest counter-example is $\pi = \mathbb{Z}/3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4$ with $\ker t = \mathbb{Z}/2$, where we prove that $\ker \Psi_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ not $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$. The source of the discrepancy seems to be an error in [Bak], Cor. 4: for $\lambda = -1$, the maximal form parameter on

$$\left(M_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2), \beta : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

does not reduce to the maximal form parameter on $M_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. This affects the contribution of the type Sp factors to the calculation.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank E. Laitinen for very useful conversations, especially on the content of § 3.

§ 1. Recollections and notations

In this paper we follow the definitions and conventions for quadratic forms found in [W 2], § 1. 1. Thus $\mathcal{Q}(A, \alpha_0, a_0)$ denotes the category of non-singular quadratic forms on free finitely generated right A -modules, associated to the anti-structure (A, α_0, a_0) , and $\alpha_0^2(x) = a_0 x a_0^{-1}$, $\alpha_0(a_0) = a_0^{-1}$.

Hermitian bimodules give functors between quadratic categories. We recall this process, referring to [Fr-McE], [HRT], § 5, for alternatives and more details. For a given anti-structure and left (resp. right) A -modules N , we define the transposed right (resp. left) A -module N^t by

$$a \cdot m = m \alpha_0(a), \text{ (resp. } m \cdot a = \alpha_0(a)m).$$

Then $M \cong M^t$ via translation by a_0 .

A hermitian (B, β_0, b_0) - (A, α_0, a_0) bimodule is a pair $h = (W, h)$ consisting of an B - A bimodule $W = {}_B W_A$, and a bimodule isomorphism

$$h : W \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(W, A)^t$$

with

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha_0 h(w_1, w_2) = h(w_2, b_0 w_1 a_0^{-1}).$$

Here $h(w_1, w_2) = h(w_1)(w_2)$, and $()^t$ transposes simultaneously the A - and B -structure (so $h(w_1, w_2 a) = h(w_1, w_2)a$, $h(w_1 a, w_2) = \alpha_0(a) h(w_1, w_2)$ and $h(b w_1, w_2) = h(w_1, \beta_0(b) w_2)$).

If $(M, q) \in \mathcal{Q}(B, \beta_0, b_0)$ and W is finitely generated A -free we define

$$(M \otimes_B W, q \otimes h) \in \mathcal{Q}(A, \alpha_0, a_0)$$

by the formula

$$(1.2) \quad (q \otimes h)(m_1 \otimes w_1, m_2 \otimes w_2) = h(w_1, q(m_1, m_2)w_2).$$

This gives a functor from $\mathcal{Q}(B, \beta_0, b_0)$ to $\mathcal{Q}(A, \alpha_0, a_0)$ and hence a homomorphism

$$h_* : L_n^K(B, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_n^K(A, \alpha_0, a_0).$$

We make this more explicit in some cases relevant to our calculations:

(i) Let $f : (A, \alpha_0, a_0) \rightarrow (B, \beta_0, b_0)$ be a map. Set $W = {}_A B_B$ with

$$h(b_1, b_2) = \beta_0(b_1)b_2$$

(and reverse the roles of A and B in (1.1)). The induced h_* is the usual covariant f_* . Of particular interest to us is the case $B = A/I$ where I is a 2-sided ideal and A is complete in the I -adic topology. In this case f_* is an isomorphism [W1], § 2.

(ii) Suppose $i : A \rightarrow B$ is a map of rings (not necessarily preserving the anti-structures) with B finitely generated A -free. Suppose $\text{Tr} : B \rightarrow A$ is a right A -module homomorphism with

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha_0 \text{Tr}(b) = \text{Tr}(\beta_0(b)b_0 a_0^{-1}).$$

Set $W = {}_B B_A$ and consider the trace form

$$h(b_1, b_2) = \text{Tr}(\beta_0(b_1)b_2).$$

This satisfies (1. 1), so if non-singular, induces a map f^* from $L_n^K(B, \beta_0, b_0)$ to $L_n^K(A, \alpha_0, a_0)$, depending on Tr .

A simple special case occurs for a Galois extension of (commutative) rings, e.g. an unramified extension of a complete local 2-ring, [AG]. Given an involution β_0 on B which commutes with the Galois action, let $\alpha_0 = \beta_0|_A$ and $b_0 = a_0$. Then the usual trace satisfies (1. 3), and $\text{Tr}(\beta_0(b_1)b_2)$ is non-singular.

Another special case occurs for group rings $B = AG$ and $A = AH$, where A is a commutative ring and $H \subset G$ is a subgroup. If $b_0 \in A$ and $\beta_0(A) = A$, we can let $\alpha_0 = \beta_0|_A$ and $a_0 = b_0$. Then the A -linear map

$$\text{Tr}(g) = g \text{ if } g \in H, \quad \text{Tr}(g) = 0 \text{ if } g \notin H$$

satisfies the condition (1. 3) and induces the usual restriction map

$$i^* : L_n^K(B, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_n^K(A, \alpha_0, a_0).$$

(iii) Let (A, c) be a commutative ring with involution c , and $B = A^\gamma G$ the twisted group ring of a finite group G over A , associated with a homomorphism $\gamma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_c(A)$ commuting with the action of c . Choose an automorphism θ of G with θ^2 inner, say $\theta^2(g) = \hat{b}g\hat{b}^{-1}$ for some $\hat{b} \in G$, and let $w : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be a homomorphism. Suppose

$$(1. 4) \quad w \circ \theta = w, \quad \gamma \circ \theta = \gamma, \quad \theta(\hat{b}) = \hat{b}, \quad w(\hat{b}) = 1, \quad \gamma(\hat{b}) = \text{id}.$$

Then a *geometric* anti-structure on B is defined by (the A -linear extension of):

$$(1. 5) \quad \beta(\lambda g) = w(g) \theta(g^{-1}) c(\lambda); \quad b = u\hat{b}$$

where $g \in G, \lambda \in A$ and $u \in \{\pm 1\}$.

(iv) Let (B, β_0, b_0) be the geometric anti-structure from (iii) and consider the subring $A = AG_1$, where $G_1 = \ker(\gamma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A))$. Note that θ induces an automorphism of G_1 , and assume $\gamma(b) = 1$ so that $b_0 \in A$. Then (A, α_0, a_0) is an anti-structure where $\alpha_0 = \beta_0|_A, a_0 = b_0$ and the transfer map given in (ii) induces

$$i^* : L_n^K(B, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_n^K(A, \alpha_0, a_0).$$

(v) Let A/A be a finite Galois extension of commutative rings with Galois group G , [AG], and c an involution of A commuting with G . Give $B = A^\gamma G$ the anti-structure from (1. 5) with $\theta = \text{identity}$ and $b_0 = a_0 \in A$. There are two hermitian bi-modules, both supported on A , namely

$$\begin{aligned} W = {}_B A_A, \quad h(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= \text{Tr}(c(\lambda_1)\lambda_2), \\ V = W^t, \quad h^t(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= c(\lambda_1)\lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

They give inverse isomorphisms (Morita invariance)

$$\begin{aligned} h_\# : L_n^K(B, \beta_0, b_0) &\longrightarrow L_n^K(A, \alpha_0, a_0), \\ h^t_\# : L_n^K(A, \alpha_0, a_0) &\longrightarrow L_n^K(B, \beta_0, b_0). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed the two compositions, induced from the hermitian bimodules $W \otimes_A V \cong_B B_B$ and $V \otimes_B W \cong_A A_A$ with their standard hermitian structure, are the identity.

(vi) For any $u \in B^\times$, scaling a quadratic form (M, q) by u replaces $q(m_1, m_2)$ by $u \cdot q(m_1, m_2)$. This defines an isomorphism

$$L_n^K(B, \beta_0, b_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} L_n^K(B, \beta_1, b_1)$$

where $\beta_1(b) = u\beta_0(b)u^{-1}$ and $b_1 = u\beta_0(u^{-1})b_0$.

For the rest of the paper, we fix a 2-hyerelementary group:

$$(1.6) \quad \pi = \mathbb{Z}/d \rtimes \sigma, \quad t: \pi \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times.$$

Here σ is a fixed 2-Sylow subgroup, d is odd, and t is the twisting homomorphism defined by $sgs^{-1} = t(s)g$ for $s \in \sigma$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}/d$. We set

$$\sigma_1 = \ker(t|_\sigma), \quad \pi_1 = \ker t = \mathbb{Z}/d \times \sigma_1.$$

Let $(\mathbb{Z}\pi, \theta, b, w)$ define a geometric anti-structure, as in (iii) with $A = \mathbb{Z}$. Since $t \circ \theta = t$, σ_1 is θ -invariant but σ may not be. However, $\theta(\sigma)$ is another 2-Sylow subgroup of π , so $\theta(\sigma) = x^{-1}\sigma x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}/d$. By scaling the anti-structure (vi) using x , we have

Assumption 1.7. *The 2-Sylow subgroup σ of π is θ -invariant.*

Notice that the scaled anti-structure and the original one agree on σ_1 , and that under the assumption 1.7, the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[Sd]^t \bar{\sigma}$ inherits a geometric anti-structure from $(\mathbb{Z}\pi, \theta, b, w)$ in the sense of (iii) with $A = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$ if $b \in \sigma_1$. Furthermore, the automorphism induced by θ on $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma/\sigma_1$ is the identity.

We are interested in the map

$$e_* : L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi, \beta, b) \longrightarrow L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \pi, \beta, b),$$

induced from the inclusion $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2$. Both groups are finite 2-groups, and by (i) and (iv), modules over the 2-local Burnside ring $A(\pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}(2)}$, as described in [HM1], §6. This ring decomposes into a product of rings, indexed by the subgroups of \mathbb{Z}/d , and e_* decomposes accordingly:

$$e_* : \prod_{m|d} L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(m) \longrightarrow \prod_{m|d} L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(m).$$

Moreover, for each divisor m of d the inclusion induces an isomorphism

$$i_* : L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[\mathbb{Z}/m \rtimes \sigma], \beta, b)(m) \xrightarrow{\cong} L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(m)$$

and similarly with $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2$ scalars. Hence, it suffices to calculate the *top component*, corresponding to $m = d$.

Following the notation from [W2], § 4. 1, let

$$(1. 8) \quad \begin{aligned} R(d) &= \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^t \sigma, & \hat{R}_p(d) &= \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R(d), \quad p \text{ prime,} \\ S(d) &= \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R(d), & \hat{S}_p(d) &= \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S(d), \quad p \text{ prime.} \end{aligned}$$

By [W2], § 4. 1, or [HM1], § 7, the natural projections of group rings induce isomorphisms for $p \nmid d$

$$(1. 9) \quad \begin{aligned} L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \pi, \beta, b)(d) &\cong L_n^K(\hat{R}_p(d), \beta, d), \\ L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p \pi, \beta, b)(d) &\cong L_n^K(\hat{S}_p(d), \beta, b). \end{aligned}$$

This reduces the study of ϱ_* to

$$\varrho_* : L_n^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta, b) \longrightarrow L_n^K(\hat{S}_2(d), \beta, b).$$

By Wedderburn theory, the rings $\hat{S}_p(d)$ and $S(d)$ are products of matrix rings over division algebras. The decomposition is controlled by the irreducible (complex) representations of π .

Let $\text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi)$ denote the irreducible $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -modules and $\text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi)(d)$ the subset of modules which are faithful when restricted to \mathbb{Z}/d . The \mathbb{Z} -span of $\text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi)$ is the representation ring $R(\pi)$. It contains the \mathbb{Z} -span $R(\pi)(d)$ of $\text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi)(d)$.

Each element $\psi \in \text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi)$ induces a simple summand $S(\psi)$ of $S(d)$, and its p -adic completion is a simple summand of $\hat{S}_p(d)$.

Let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}$ be the extension which contains all roots of one, and let Ω be its Galois group. Each $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -module has the form $V \otimes_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C}$ for a (unique up to isomorphism) $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}\pi$ -module V . Thus Ω acts on $\text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi)$, and

$$(1. 10) \quad S(\psi) = S(\psi') \Leftrightarrow \psi' \in \Omega \cdot \psi.$$

The center of $S(\psi)$ is the field $\mathbb{Q}(\psi)$ generated by all character values of ψ ,

$$\mathbb{Q}(\psi) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ \psi(g) \mid g \in \pi \}.$$

Here, as is common practice, $\psi(g)$ denotes the value of the character of ψ at g . If Ω_{ψ} is the stabilizer of ψ , then Ω/Ω_{ψ} is the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(\psi)/\mathbb{Q}$.

Actually, we are more interested in the p -local situation. So let $\Omega_p \subseteq \Omega$ be the (local) Galois group of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Concretely, there are identifications

$$(1. 11) \quad \Omega = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}, \quad \Omega_p = \langle p \rangle \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{\times}$$

where $\langle p \rangle \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}/\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{\times}$ is the multiplicative subgroup of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}/\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{\times} = \prod_{q \neq p} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_q^{\times}$ generated by p .

The simple summands of $\hat{S}_p(d)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the orbits $\text{irr}_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi)(d)/\Omega_p$. The summand corresponding to ψ is the completion of $S(\psi)$ with center $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_p(\psi)$, and

$$\hat{S}_p(\psi) = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} S(\psi) = \prod_{l|p} S(\psi)_l.$$

The number of factors is the index of $\Omega_p/(\Omega_p)_{\psi}$ in Ω/Ω_{ψ} .

The geometric anti-involution β acts on $\text{irr}_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi)(d)$ by

$$\beta(\psi)(g) = \psi(\theta(g^{-1}))w(g).$$

Note that $\psi \otimes w$ is irreducible if ψ is because $w^2 = 1$, and that β commutes with the action of Ω_p (resp. Ω). One compares the two actions by introducing the concept of p -types (resp. types) as follows:

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \psi \text{ has } p\text{-type GL (type GL)} & \text{if } \beta(\psi) \notin \Omega_p \cdot \psi \quad (\beta(\psi) \notin \Omega \cdot \psi), \\ \psi \text{ has } p\text{-type U (type U)} & \text{if } \beta(\psi) = \omega \cdot \psi, \quad \omega \notin (\Omega_p)_{\psi} \quad (\omega \notin \Omega_{\psi}), \\ \psi \text{ has type I} & \text{if } \beta(\psi) = \psi. \end{array}$$

Note that for characters of a 2-group, the 2-type equals the type. For type I one has the subtypes

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \psi \text{ has type O} & \text{if } \sum w(g) \psi(g\theta(g)b) > 0, \\ \psi \text{ has type Sp} & \text{if } \sum w(g) \psi(g\theta(g)b) < 0. \end{array}$$

Write $\text{irr}_{\mathcal{C}}^0(\pi) \subset \text{irr}_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi)$ for the subset of characters *not* of type GL.

We are now ready to formulate our main result. First we give the setting:

Let $(\mathbb{Z}\pi, \beta, b)$ be a geometric anti-structure as in (iii) with $A = \mathbb{Z}$, where π is the 2-hyperelementary group from (1.6), and suppose $b \in \pi$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{Z}/d^{\times}$ be such that $\beta(T) = T^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for $T \in \mathbb{Z}/d$. Assuming there exists

$$(1.14) \quad g_0 \in \sigma \quad \text{with} \quad t(g_0) = -\mathfrak{g}^{-1},$$

define a scaled anti-structure on $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \sigma_1$ by

$$(1.15) \quad \beta_0(a) = g_0 \beta(a) g_0^{-1}, \quad b_0 = g_0 \beta(g_0^{-1}) b w(g_0).$$

Call $\xi \in \text{irr}_{\mathcal{C}}(\sigma_1)$ linear, if it is 1-dimensional, $\xi: \sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Its order is the order of the cyclic subgroup $\xi(\sigma_1)$ of \mathbb{C}^{\times} .

Let $\chi: \mathbb{Z}/d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ be any faithful linear character of \mathbb{Z}/d . For $\xi \in \text{irr}_{\mathcal{C}}^0(\sigma_1)$, $\chi \otimes \xi \in \text{irr}_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi_1)$ and we can consider the induced character $\text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \xi)$ of π . Write $\hat{S}_2(d, \xi)$ for the summand of $\hat{S}_2(d)$ associated with $\text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \xi)$.

Theorem 1.16. *If there is no element $g_0 \in \sigma$ satisfying (1.14), $L_i^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(d) = 0$. If g_0 exists, set $m = i + (1 - w(g_0))$. For each $\xi \in \text{irr}_C^0(\sigma_1)$ the composite*

$$L_i^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(d) \xrightarrow{\psi_i(d)} L_i^K(\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_2(d), \beta, b) \longrightarrow L_i^K(\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_2(d, \xi), \beta, b)$$

is injective or zero. It is injective, if and only if the character ξ is:

- (a) linear type O (and $m \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$),
- (b) linear type Sp (and $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$),
- (c) linear type U (and m even), order 2^l and $\xi(b_0^{2^l-1}) = -1$.

Here types refer to the anti-structure $(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \sigma_1, \beta_0, b_0)$ of (1.15).

Remarks 1.17. (i) Note that for a type I linear character $\xi(b_0) = 1 (= -1)$ if and only if ξ has type O (type Sp). Since types (and the condition that a linear character ξ has order 2^l and $\xi(b_0^{2^l-1}) = -1$) are preserved by scaling the conclusions above are independent of choice of g_0 .

(ii) If σ_1 has a linear character ξ of type 1.16(c), then (by projecting onto the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ quotient of $\xi(\sigma_1)$) it also has linear characters of type O and Sp. Therefore the map $\psi_i(d)$ is injective if and only if σ_1 has a linear character of type O ($m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$), or type Sp ($m = 2, 3 \pmod{4}$). For $d=1$, the case of a 2-group, we recover the result of [HTW1], AI 2.1.

§ 2. Discriminant calculations

This section evaluates the “discriminant”

$$(2.1) \quad d_m^K: L_m^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi_1, \beta, b) \longrightarrow \widehat{H}^m(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \pi_1), \beta)$$

for 2-elementary groups π_1 . The range in (2.1) denotes Tate cohomology of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ with coefficient in $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \pi_1)$, equipped with the usual involution (β -conjugate, transposition of matrices). Our calculations will use the character homomorphism description of $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \pi_1)$, which we recall below.

One has isomorphisms of Ω -modules

$$J_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^\times \cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(\Omega, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times)$$

and, following [F], an isomorphism

$$(2.2) \quad K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \pi) \cong \text{Hom}_\Omega(R(\pi), J_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times).$$

This is natural with respect to both the covariant and contravariant structure of the involved functors. Thus if $\pi' \subseteq \pi$ then

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \pi) \cong \text{Hom}_\Omega(R(\pi), J_2(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})) & & \\ \uparrow i^* & & \uparrow \text{Ind}^* \\ \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow \text{Res}^* \\ K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \pi') \cong \text{Hom}_\Omega(R(\pi'), J_2(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})) & & \end{array}$$

give two commutative diagrams.

The isomorphism from left to right in (2.3) can be described as follows. Let $\varrho: \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}_C(V)$ an irreducible module, and let $S_\varrho \subseteq \text{End}_C(V)$ be the image of $\mathcal{Q}\pi$. It is a simple algebra whose centre is the field $\mathcal{Q}(\varrho)$ of character values. The composition

$$\varrho_\# : K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \pi) \xrightarrow{e} K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} S_\varrho) \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} J_2(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})$$

(Nrd = reduced norm) is adjoint to (2.3): for $\chi \in K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \pi)$, $\chi(\varrho) = \varrho_\#(\chi)$.

We now specialize to π from (1.6) and a geometric anti-structure $(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2 \pi, \beta, b)$ defined by a pair (θ, b, w) as in § 1 (iii), satisfying 1.7. We are interested only in the top component $L_n^K(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(d)$, or equivalent by (1.9), in the groups $L_n^K(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_2(d), \beta, b)$.

The automorphism θ of π restricts to an automorphism of \mathbb{Z}/d ,

$$(2.4) \quad \theta(g) = g^\vartheta, \quad \text{for } g \in \mathbb{Z}/d$$

for some $\vartheta \in (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times$. We will assume from now on

Assumption 2.5. *The unit b is a group element rather than an element in $\{\pm \pi\}$.*

The change of unit by -1 simply shifts the calculation from L_m to L_{m+2} so this is just a normalization.

Assumption 2.6. *There exists $g_0 \in \sigma$ with $t(g_0) = -\vartheta^{-1}$.*

In fact, $L_n^K(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_2(d), \beta, b) \neq 0$ if and only if 2.6 is satisfied. To see this, for $t(\sigma) \cong \bar{\sigma} = \sigma/\sigma_1$ we have the isomorphism:

$$L_n^K(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_2(d), \beta, b) \cong L_n^K(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^\vartheta, \bar{\beta}, \bar{b})$$

from § 1, (i), and the involution $\bar{\beta}$ on the centre $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^\vartheta$ corresponds to $-\vartheta$ in the Galois group $(\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times/t(\sigma)$. If 2.6 is not satisfied, then $\bar{\beta}$ is non-trivial on the centre, so all the summands on the right-hand side have type U or GL. These anti-structures have vanishing L_n^K ([W 2], § 1.2). If 2.6 is satisfied, then we check in 2.9 below that the right-hand side is isomorphic to

$$L_n^K(\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^\vartheta, 1, 1) \cong g_2^\vartheta(d) \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/2),$$

a direct sum of $g_2^\vartheta(d) = |(\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times : t(\sigma) \cdot \langle 2 \rangle|$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

We now scale the anti-structure (§ 1, (vi)) by $g_0 \in \sigma$ to eliminate the action on $\mathbb{Z}/d \subseteq \pi$:

$$L_m^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta, b) \cong L_m^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0 w(g_0))$$

$$(2.7) \quad \beta_0(g) = g_0 \beta(g) g_0^{-1}, \quad b_0 = g_0 \beta(g_0)^{-1} b w(g_0).$$

In this formula we have arranged that b_0 is still a group element, and $\beta_0(\sigma) = \sigma$. Notice also that if $g \in \mathbb{Z}/d$, then $\beta(g) = \theta(g^{-1})$ implies $\beta_0(g) = g$. Since $\beta_0^2(g) = b_0 g b_0^{-1} = t(b_0)(g)$, we see that $t(b_0) = 1$. Since d is odd, and $\beta_0(b_0) = b_0^{-1}$, it follows that $b_0 \in \sigma_1 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/d \times \sigma_1$.

We will use the inclusions

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} i_0^K : (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_0, \beta_0, b_0) &\longrightarrow (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_1, \beta_0, b_0), \\ i_1^K : (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_1, \beta_0, b_0) &\longrightarrow (\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_0 = \langle b_0 \rangle \subseteq \sigma_1$ is the cyclic group generated by b_0 . The composite inclusion $i_1^K \circ i_0^K$ will be denoted i^K .

Lemma 2.9. $L_n^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \cong L_n^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^\sigma, 1, 1)$.

Proof. Suppose first that the orientation character $w(g) \equiv 1$. Then $\beta_0(g) = \theta_0(g^{-1})$ where $\theta_0(\) = g_0 \theta(\) g_0^{-1}$ and $t \circ \theta_0 = t$. Therefore there is a projection

$$(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow (\bar{R}_2(d), \bar{\beta}_0, \bar{b}_0)$$

with $\bar{R}_2(d) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^\sigma \bar{\sigma}$, $\bar{\theta}_0 = \text{id}$ on $\bar{\sigma}$, and $\bar{b}_0 = 1$. Since $\hat{R}_2(d)$ and $\bar{R}_2(d)$ have the same simple quotient (upon dividing out the radical) the surjection induces isomorphism on L_n^K .

From Morita invariance (§ 1, (v)):

$$\mu_* : L_m^K(\bar{R}_2(d), \bar{\beta}_0, \bar{b}_0) \cong L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^\sigma, 1, 1).$$

This proves our claim when $w(g) \equiv 1$.

In general,

$$L_n^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \cong L_n^K(\hat{R}_2(d), w \cdot \beta_0, b_0)$$

for any homomorphism $w : \sigma \rightarrow \langle \pm 1 \rangle$, again by reducing modulo the radical. ■

Let $g_2(d)$ denote the number of dyadic primes in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ and $g_2^\sigma(d)$ the number of dyadic primes in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)^\sigma$. Specifically,

$$g_2(d) = |\mathbb{Z}/d^\times : \langle 2 \rangle|, \quad g_2^\sigma(d) = |\mathbb{Z}/d^\times : \langle 2 \rangle \cdot t(\sigma)|$$

and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$ is $g_2(d)$ copies of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[\zeta_d]$.

Proposition 2.10. *The inclusion i^K in (2.8) induces a split surjection*

$$i_*^K : L_{2n}^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_0, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_{2n}^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0).$$

In fact, i_*^K is naturally identified with the projection

$$\mathbb{Z}/2[\mathbb{Z}/d^{\times}/\langle 2 \rangle] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2[\mathbb{Z}/d^{\times}/\langle 2 \rangle \cdot \bar{\sigma}].$$

Proof. By 2.9 it is equivalent to study

$$j^* : L_{2n}^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d], 1, 1) \longrightarrow L_{2n}^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^\sigma, 1, 1).$$

Indeed, using § 1, (i), (ii) and (v), one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n^K(\widehat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & L_m^K(\widehat{R}_2(d), \bar{\beta}_0, \bar{b}_0) \\ \uparrow i_* & & \downarrow \mu_* \\ L_m^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d], 1, 1) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & L_m^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]^\sigma, 1, 1), \end{array}$$

and $\mu_* \circ q_*$ is the isomorphism constructed in the proof of 2.9. The simple summands of the rings in question are $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[\zeta_d]$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[\zeta_d]^{\bar{\sigma} \cap \langle 2 \rangle}$, so it is enough to conclude that

$$j^* : L_{2n}^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[\zeta_d], 1, 1) \longrightarrow L_{2n}^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[\zeta_d]^{\bar{\sigma} \cap \langle 2 \rangle}, 1, 1)$$

is an isomorphism. This is the case if for each pair of finite fields $E_0 \subset E$ of char = 2,

$$j^* : L_{2n}^K(E, 1, 1) \longrightarrow L_{2n}^K(E_0, 1, 1)$$

is bijective. Both groups have order 2 and the non-trivial element is represented by a quadratic plane $(E \oplus E, Q)$ with Arf invariant 1, i.e.

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^E(\delta) = 1.$$

Suppose $|E : E_0| = 2$. Then $j^*(E \oplus E, Q)$ is represented by $(E \oplus E, Q_0)$ with $Q_0 = \text{Tr}_{E_0}^E \circ Q$, of dim 4 over E_0 . Let $\{e_1, f_1\}$ be the (symplectic) basis for $(E \oplus E, Q)$. Let $w = \delta/\delta_0$ where $\delta_0 = \text{Tr}_{E_0}^E(\delta)$. Then $\text{Tr}_{E_0}^E(w) = 1$ and $\{e_1, f_1 w^{-1}, e_1 w, f_1\}$ is a symplectic basis for Q_0 . It has non-vanishing Arf invariant. Indeed,

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{E_0}(Q_0(e_1, e_1) \cdot Q_0(f_1 w^{-1}, f_1 w^{-1}) + Q_0(e_1 w, e_1 w) Q_0(f_1, f_1)) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{E_0} \text{Tr}_{E_0}^E(\delta)$$

is non-zero. ■

We can now calculate the discriminant

$$(2.11) \quad d_{2n}^K : L_{2n}^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi_0, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^0(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_0)(d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0),$$

where $\pi_0 = \mathbb{Z}/d \times \sigma_0$ and $R(\pi_0)(d) = \mathbb{Z}[\text{irr}_C(\pi_0)(d)]$ are the characters which act faithfully on \mathbb{Z}/d . Recall that $\sigma_0 = \langle b_0 \rangle$, the cyclic subgroup of π_1 generated by the element $b_0 \in \sigma_1$ from (2.7), and $\beta_0(b_0) = b_0^{-1}$.

Choose faithful characters $\xi: \sigma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\chi: \mathbb{Z}/d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. The set $\text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi_0)(d)$ is given by $\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^i$ with $(j, i) \in \mathbb{Z}/d^\times \times \mathbb{Z}/|\sigma_0|$. The 2-local Galois group Ω_2 acts with orbits

$$\text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi_0)(d)/\Omega_2 = \{\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}/d^\times/\langle 2 \rangle, 2^i \in \sigma_0/\sigma_0^\times\}.$$

Let $R(\pi_0)(d)$ be the \mathbb{Z} -span of $\text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi_0)(d)$. By (2. 2)

$$K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \pi_0)(d) = \text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_0)(d), \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2^\times).$$

Concretely, it is the direct product of the groups

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i})^\times \cong \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \zeta_0^{2^i})^\times, \quad 2^i \in \sigma_0/\sigma_0^\times$$

where ζ_0 is a primitive $|\sigma_0|$ 'th root of 1. There are $|(\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times : \langle 2 \rangle| (k+1)$ factors in $K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \pi)$ when $|\sigma_0| = 2^k$.

Fix a 2-local integer δ in $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[\zeta_d]$ whose reduction to the residue field has non-zero trace in \mathbb{F}_2 . Define a Galois homomorphism

$$A_{2n}^r: R(\pi_0)(d) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2^\times$$

by

$$A_{2n}^r(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i}) = 1 - (-1)^n \delta \{1 + (-1)^n \xi_0^{2^i}(b_0)\}^2 / \xi_0^{2^i}(b_0), \text{ for } r=j \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/d^\times/\langle 2 \rangle$$

(2. 12)

$$A_{2n}^r(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i}) = 1, \text{ for } r \neq j \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/d^\times/\langle 2 \rangle, \text{ with } i=1, 2, \dots, k.$$

The involution on

$$K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \pi_0)(d) = \prod \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i})^\times$$

induced from β_0 fixes χ^j and maps $\xi_0^{2^i}$ to its complex conjugate. We are interested in the Tate cohomology class

$$\widehat{A}_{2n}^r(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i}) \in \widehat{H}^0(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i})^\times, \beta_0).$$

Lemma 2. 13. For $i=1, 2, \dots, k-2$ the element $\widehat{A}_{2n}^i(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i})$ is non-trivial. For $i=k-1$ (resp. $i=k$) it is non-trivial if and only if n is odd (resp. n is even).

Proof. We will do the case n odd, and leave the similar argument for n even to the reader. If $i=k-1$ the character field is fixed by β_0 , and $A_{2n}^i(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^{k-1}}) = 1 - 4\delta$ is a non-square if $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d)^\times$, [S2], XIV, Prop. 9. If $i=k-v$ with $v \geq 2$, β_0 acts non-trivially on the character field $E = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \zeta_{2^v})$ with fixed field $E_0 = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \zeta_{2^v} + \zeta_{2^v}^{-1})$. The element

$$A_{2n}^i(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^{2^i}) = 1 - (-1)^n [(1 + (-1)^n \zeta_{2^v})^2 / \zeta_{2^v}] \delta$$

is not a norm from E [S2], XV. ■

The discriminant

$$d_{2n}^K : L_{2n}^K(\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_0, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^0(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_0)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0)$$

maps the $|\mathbb{Z}/d^\times : \langle 2 \rangle|$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ into the $|\mathbb{Z}/d^\times : \langle 2 \rangle|$ cohomology classes \hat{A}_{2n}^* calculated in 2. 13. Indeed, the Arf invariant plane has quadratic form $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ with bilinearization $Q + (-1)^n b_0 Q'$ and discriminant $1 - (-1)^n [(1 + (-1)^n b_0)^2 / b_0] \delta$.

We can now study the map in (2. 1) for $m = 2n$ via the diagram

$$(2. 14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L_{2n}^K(R_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}^0(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0) \\ \uparrow i_*^K & & \uparrow \hat{H}^0(\text{Res}_*) \\ L_{2n}^K(\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_0, \beta_0, b_0) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}^0(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_0)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0). \end{array}$$

The lower horizontal map was calculated above and the i_*^K was calculated in 2. 10. Thus we have left to study the right-hand vertical map. This will be done in two steps using the intermediate group

$$\pi_0 \subseteq \pi_1 \subseteq \pi.$$

The representations of 2-type GL (1. 12) do not contribute to the Tate cohomology groups in (2. 14). Write

$$\text{irr}_C^0(\pi)(d) \subseteq \text{irr}_C(\pi)(d)$$

for the complementary subset of 2-type U and type I characters (with respect to the scaled anti-involution β_0), and $R^0(\pi)(d)$ for its \mathbb{Z} -span. Then

$$\hat{H}^*(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0) = \hat{H}^*(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R^0(\pi)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0).$$

Note for π_1 that

$$\text{irr}_C^0(\pi_1)(d) = \{\chi^j \otimes \xi \mid j \in (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times, \xi \in \text{irr}_C^0(\sigma_1)\}.$$

We collect a few standard facts about subfields of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2$. The reader is referred to [S2], V, XIII, for proofs. Let $L \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2$ be a subfield and β_0 any Galois involution on L . If $\beta_0 \neq 1$, $\hat{H}^0(L^\times, \beta_0) = \mathbb{Z}/2$; otherwise $\hat{H}^0(L^\times, \beta_0) = L^\times / L^{\times 2}$. In the case of trivial β_0 however, we are mostly interested in the order 2 subgroup

$$U_L^{2e} / U_L^{2e+1} \subset L^\times / L^{\times 2}, \quad e = e(L/\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2)$$

the total ramification index. This subgroup is generated by $1 - 4\delta$ where $\delta \in U_L$ is a unit whose residue class has non-zero trace in \mathbb{F}_2 (U_L^i is the subgroup of integral elements x with valuation $v_L(x-1) \geq i$).

Let $K \subset L$. Then β_0 induces an involution of K which can be trivial or not. Consider the norm $N : L \rightarrow K$ and the inclusion $I : K \rightarrow L$. For their induced maps

$$N^* : \hat{H}^0(L^\times, \beta_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^0(K^\times, \beta_0), \quad I_* : \hat{H}^0(K^\times, \beta_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^0(L^\times, \beta_0)$$

we have

- (C1) If $\beta_0|K \neq 1$, N^* is bijective and, if $[L : K]$ is even, $I_* = 0$ ([S2], XIII, § 4).
- (C2) If $\beta_0|K = 1$ but $\beta_0 \neq 1$, $N^* = 0$.
- (C3) $N : U_L^{2e}/U_L^{2e+1} \rightarrow U_K^{2e}/U_K^{2e+1}$ is zero if and only if L/K is ramified.
- (C4) $I : U_K^{2e}/U_K^{2e+1} \rightarrow U_L^{2e}/U_L^{2e+1}$ is bijective if and only if L/K is totally ramified.

We can give a calculation of the discriminant map for the group π_1 in terms of certain character sums. By (2. 14) with π replaced by π_1 , this amounts to calculating

$$\hat{H}^0(\text{Res}_*^1) : \hat{H}^*(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R^0(\pi_0)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^*(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R^0(\pi_1)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0).$$

We shall need a certain function

$$\mu : \text{irr}_c(\sigma_1) \times \sigma_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $s \in \sigma_1$, let ξ_s be a faithful character of $\langle s \rangle$, so that $\xi_s(s) = e^{2\pi i/|s|}$. Then

$$(2. 15) \quad \mu(\xi, s) = \sum_{r=1}^{|s|} \langle \text{Res}_{\langle s \rangle}^{\sigma_1}(\xi), \xi_s^r \rangle | \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\xi_s^r) : \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\xi) |^{-1}.$$

Here we interpret the inverse index $| \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\xi_s^r) : \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\xi) |^{-1}$ to be zero whenever $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\xi)$ is not contained in $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\xi_s^r)$ (i.e. when the conductor $f_\xi > |s^r|$).

The formula (2. 15) defines an integer, because if we write

$$\text{Res}_{\langle s \rangle}^{\sigma_1}(\xi) = \sum_{v=0}^k \sum_{v_2(j)=k-v} m_j \xi_s^j, \quad k = \log_2 |s|,$$

then each subsum with fixed v is invariant under $(\Omega_2)_\xi$, and hence by the Galois group Γ_v of $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\zeta_{2^v})/\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\zeta_{2^v}) \cap \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\xi)$. For $v_2(j) = k - v$ write $j = j' \cdot 2^{k-v}$, $j' \in (\mathbb{Z}/2^v)^\times$. The function $m_j = m_{j'}$ only depends on j' in $(\mathbb{Z}/2^v)^\times/\Gamma_v$. Hence $\sum_{v_2(j)=k-v} m_j$ is divisible by $|\Gamma_v|$. This implies integrality in (2. 15).

Recall from (1. 12) that $\xi \in \text{irr}_c^0(\sigma_1)$ has type I if $\beta_0(\xi) = \xi$. Otherwise we say ξ has type II (= 2-type U).

Proposition 2.16. Let $\xi \in \text{irr}_C^0(\sigma_1)$. For each $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times / \langle 2 \rangle$,

$$\hat{A}_{2n}^r(\text{Res}_{\pi_0}^{\sigma_1}(\chi^j \otimes \xi)) \neq 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \hat{H}^0(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\chi^j \otimes \xi)^\times, \beta_0)$$

if only if $j=r$ and one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

(I) ξ has type I and

(a) for n even, $|b_0|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{|b_0|} \xi(b_0^i) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,

(b) for n odd, $|b_0|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{|b_0|} (-1)^i \xi(b_0^i) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$;

(II) ξ has type II and $\mu(\xi, b_0) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Proof. The condition $r=j$ comes from definition (2.12). We have

$$\text{Res}_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(\xi) = \sum_{v=0}^k \sum_{v_2(j)=k-v} m_j(v) \xi_0^j.$$

We saw above that the v 'th sum is invariant under Γ_v , the Galois group of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_{2^v})/\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\xi) \cap \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_{2^v})$. Thus

$$A_{2n}^r(v) := A_{2n}^r(\chi^r \otimes \sum_{v_2(j)=k-v} m_j(v) \xi_0^j) = \prod_{j \in (\mathbb{Z}/2^v)^\times / \Gamma_v} N[A_{2n}^r(\chi^r \otimes \xi_0^{2^{k-v}})]^{m_j(v)}$$

where $N: \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \zeta_{2^v}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \xi) \cap \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \zeta_{2^v})$ is the norm.

In case (I), β_0 acts trivially on $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \xi) \cap \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \zeta_{2^v})$ so $A_{2n}^r(v) = 1$ for $v \geq 2$ by (C2). Hence in case (b)

$$A_2^r(\text{Res}_{\pi_0}^{\sigma_1}(\chi^r \otimes \xi)) = A_2^r(\chi^r \otimes \xi_0^{2^{k-1}})^{m(1)} = (1 - 4\delta)^{m(1)}$$

in U_L^2/U_L^3 with $L = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d)$. But

$$m(1) = \langle \text{Res}_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(\xi), \xi_0^{2^{k-1}} \rangle = |b_0|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{|b_0|} (-1)^i \xi(b_0^i),$$

and we can use (C4) to complete the proof in case (I) (b). Case (a) is similar but easier. From (2.13) the answer is non-trivial if and only if $\text{Res}_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(\xi)$ contains an odd multiple of the trivial character.

In case (II), $A_2^r(v) = 1$ by (C1) unless $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \zeta_{2^v}) \supset \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \xi)$. When this is the case, then, again by (C1),

$$N[A_2^r(\chi^r \otimes \xi_0^{2^{k-v}})] \neq 0$$

in $\hat{H}^0(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_d, \xi)^\times, \beta_0) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. Thus $A_2^r(v) = \sum m_j(v)$, $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/2^v)^\times / \Gamma_v$ with

$$\Gamma_v = G(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\zeta_{2^v})/\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\xi)).$$

Equivalently

$$A_2^r(v) = \sum m_j(v) |\hat{Q}_2(\zeta_{2^v}) : \hat{Q}_2(\xi)|^{-1}, \quad j \in (\mathbb{Z}/2^v)^\times$$

when $f_\xi \leq 2^v$ and zero otherwise. Sum over v to complete the proof. ■

We now consider (2. 1) with m odd. In analogy with 2. 10 we have

Proposition 2. 17. *The inclusion i_1^K induces a split injection*

$$(i_1^K)^* : L_{2n+1}^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_{2n+1}^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_1, \beta_0, b_0)$$

which can be identified with the natural injection

$$\text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/d^\times / \langle 2 \rangle \bar{\sigma}, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/d^\times / \langle 2 \rangle, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of 2. 10 and reduces to showing that, for an extension $F \subset E$ of finite fields of characteristic 2,

$$i_* : L_{2n+1}^K(F, 1, 1) \longrightarrow L_{2n+1}^K(E, 1, 1)$$

is non-trivial (both groups are equal to $\mathbb{Z}/2$). But this is clear as the non-trivial element is represented by the automorphism $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of the hyperbolic plane. ■

Consider the diagram

(2. 18)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{2n+1}^K(R_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) & \xrightarrow{d} & \hat{H}^1(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi)(d), \bar{Q}_2^\times), \beta_0) \\ \downarrow i_1^\dagger & & \downarrow \hat{H}^1(\text{Ind}_1^\dagger) \\ L_{2n+1}^K(\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_1, \beta_0, b_0) & \xrightarrow{d^1} & \hat{H}^1(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi)(d), \bar{Q}_2^\times), \beta_0) \\ \uparrow \cong i_{0*} & & \uparrow \hat{H}^1(\text{Res}_1^\dagger) \\ L_{2n+1}^K(\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_0, \beta_0, b_0) & \xrightarrow{d^0} & \hat{H}^1(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_0)(d), \bar{Q}_2^\times), \beta_0). \end{array}$$

Here we need only the lower square, but the upper square is used in § 4. The Galois homomorphisms in (2. 12) are replaced by

$$(2. 19) \quad A_{2n+1}^r(\chi^j \otimes \xi_0^i) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n+1} \xi_0^i(b_0) & \text{if } i = 2^k \text{ or } 2^{k-1} \text{ and } r = j \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times / \langle 2 \rangle, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2. 20. *The map*

$$d_{2n+1}^K : L_{2n+1}^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_0, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^1(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_0)(d), \bar{Q}_2^\times), \beta_0)$$

maps the $|\mathbb{Z}/d^\times : \langle 2 \rangle|$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ into the homomorphisms in (2. 19). ■

Theorem 2. 21. Let $\xi \in \text{irr}_C^0(\sigma_1)(d)$ and $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times / \langle 2 \rangle$. Then

$$\hat{A}_{2n+1}^r(\text{Res}_{\pi_0}^{\pi_1}(\chi^j \otimes \xi)) \neq 0$$

if and only if $r=j$ and one of the following holds:

(a) n is odd, ξ has type I and

$$|b_0|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{|b_0|} (-1)^i \xi(b_0^i) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

(b) n is even, ξ has type I and

$$|b_0|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{|b_0|} \xi(b_0^i) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to, but easier than that of 2. 16. One uses the diagram (2. 18) along with 2. 17 and (2. 19). The condition for n odd is simply that some odd multiple of the character $\xi_0^{2^{k-1}}$ extends to an irreducible character of σ_1 . ■

§ 3. Final results for 2-elementary groups

We now finish the calculation of

$$\Psi_m : L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi_1, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2 \pi_1, \beta_0, b_0)$$

where $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/d \times \sigma_1$ (i.e. for 2-elementary groups) using a more detailed study of the character theory for finite 2-groups. We show that the results of § 2 can be improved, so that non-linear characters can be neglected and handle the cases where the range of Ψ_m is not detected by the discriminant.

Recall that β_0 has the form $\beta_0(g) = w(g) \theta_0(g^{-1})$ with $\beta_0(g) = g$ for $g \in \mathbb{Z}/d$, and that $b_0 \in \sigma_1^+ = \ker(w : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\})$.

If $f : (A, \alpha_0, a_0) \rightarrow (B, \beta_0, b_0)$ is a map of rings with anti-structure, we have the transfer map I^* (§ 1, (ii)). In addition, for any $v \in B^\times$ with $vAv^{-1} = A$, there is a generalized transfer, defined by the composite

$$I_v^* : L_m^K(B, \beta_0, b_0) \xrightarrow{\text{"scale by } v} L_m^K(B, \beta_0^v, b_0^v) \xrightarrow{I^*} L_m^K(A, \alpha_0^v, a_0^v).$$

The first ingredient is

Lemma 3. 1. Let $\sigma_2 \subseteq \sigma_1$ be a proper subgroup such that $\beta_0(\sigma_2) = \sigma_2$ and $b_0 \in \sigma_2$. Then for any $v \in \sigma_1$ such that $v\sigma_2v^{-1} = \sigma_2$, the generalized transfer map

$$L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_1, \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_2, \beta_0^v, b_0^v)$$

is zero.

Proof. From § 1 (i) and (ii), it is easy to see that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_1, \beta_0^v, b_0^v) & \xrightarrow{I_v^*} & L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_2, \beta_0^v, b_0^v) \\
 \uparrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d], 1, 1) & \xrightarrow{[\sigma_1: \sigma_2]} & L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d], 1, 1)
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the inclusion and reduction modulo a radical ideal respectively. ■

The second ingredient is a special case of the Detection Theorem of [HTW2], 5. 6. For detecting maps into $\hat{H}^*(K_1(\mathbb{Q}\pi))$, this involves a variant of the Witt-Roquette character theory and some attention to the geometric anti-structure. For the benefit of the reader, we will give a proof adapted to our special case and just refer to [HTW2] for a group-theoretic result (3. 4).

Definition 3. 2. If G is a finite 2-group and θ is an automorphism of G , then G is called θ -basic if G contains no normal θ -invariant $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ subgroups K .

If θ is an inner automorphism, then G is θ -basic if and only if G is basic in the classical sense. By Roquette's Theorem [Ro], the basic groups are

$$(3. 3) \quad G = \mathbb{Z}/2^k, \quad Q2^k (k \geq 3), \quad D2^k (k \geq 4), \quad \text{or} \quad SD2^k (k \geq 4).$$

Theorem 3. 4 ([HTW2], 5. 4). *If G is a θ -basic 2-group and θ has even order in $\text{Out}(G)$, then G is basic or $G \cong D8$ and θ represents the non-trivial element in $\text{Out}(D8)$.*

We now return to the evaluation of the Arf-classes

$$(3. 5) \quad \hat{A}_m^r \in \hat{H}^m(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_1)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0)$$

for the 2-elementary group $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/d \times \sigma_1$, explicating the character formulas (2. 16), (2. 21). To shorten the notation, we will use $\hat{A}_m^r(\chi^j \otimes \xi)$ instead of the more precise $\hat{A}_m^r(\text{Res}_{\pi_0}^{\pi_1}(\chi^j \otimes \xi))$ from § 2.

Here is the key result:

Proposition 3. 6. *Let $\mathbb{Z}/d \times G$ be a 2-elementary group with geometric anti-structure (θ, w, b) such that $\beta(g) = g$ for $g \in \mathbb{Z}/d$, $\beta(G) = G$ and $b \in G$. Suppose that G is not θ -basic and that $\xi \in \text{irr}_C^0(G)$ is faithful. Then $\hat{A}_m^r(\chi^j \otimes \xi) = 0$ for all $r, j \in (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times / \langle 2 \rangle$.*

Proof. It is enough to do the case $r = j = 1$. Since G is not θ -basic, it contains a θ -invariant normal subgroup $K \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. Let K_0, K_1 and K_2 denote the distinct $\mathbb{Z}/2$ subgroups of K . Since ξ is faithful, K is normal but non-central, and intersects the centre of G , say in K_0 . Let $g \in G$ be an element with $gK_1g^{-1} = K_2$, and G_0 be the centralizer of K in G . Let V be the representation space of ξ . Since ξ is irreducible $V^{K_0} = 0$ so $\text{Res}_G^K(V) = V^{K_1} \oplus V^{K_2}$, interchanged by g . Then $\text{Res}_{G_0}^{G_0}(V) = V^{K_1} \oplus V^{K_2}$ and

$$V = \text{Ind}_{G_0}^G(V^{K_1}) = \text{Ind}_{G_0}^G(V^{K_2}),$$

without change of centre or Schur index.

The automorphism θ preserves K_0 and hence $\theta(K_1) = K_1$ or $\theta(K_1) = K_2$. In both cases θ^2 is the identity on K so $b \in G_0$, and $\theta(G_0) = G_0$. Then (β, b) restricts to an anti-structure on G_0 . If the character ξ_1 of V^{K_1} is not β -invariant, we scale by v ($= e$ or g) and find that ξ_1 is β^v -invariant. Then since

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\chi \otimes \xi_1)^{\times}, \beta^v) = (\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\chi \otimes \xi)^{\times}, \beta),$$

the summand given by ξ of $\widehat{H}^m(K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 G), \beta)$ is mapped isomorphically under Ind^* to the summand given by ξ_1 of $\widehat{H}^m(K_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 G_0), \beta^v)$.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_m^K(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2 \otimes Z[\zeta_d]G, \beta, b) & \xrightarrow{I^*} & L_m^K(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_2 \otimes Z[\zeta_d]G_0, \beta^v, b^v) \\ \downarrow d_m^K & & \downarrow d_m^K \\ \widehat{H}^m(\text{Hom}(R(Z/d \times G)(d), \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{\times}), \beta) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}^*} & \widehat{H}^m(\text{Hom}(R(Z/d \times G_0)(d), \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{\times}), \beta^v) \\ \downarrow e(\xi)_* & & \downarrow e(\xi_1)_* \\ \widehat{H}^m(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\chi \otimes \xi)^{\times}, \beta) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \widehat{H}^m(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\chi \otimes \xi_1)^{\times}, \beta^v), \end{array}$$

where $e(\xi)$ denotes the evaluation map of a character homomorphism on the character $\chi \otimes \xi$. Since G_0 is a proper subgroup of G , $I^* = 0$ by (3.1) and hence $\text{Ind}^* \widehat{A}^r = \{0\}$. However,

$$(\text{Ind}^* \widehat{A}_m^r)(\chi \otimes \xi_1) = \widehat{A}_m^r(\chi^j \otimes \xi)$$

and the result follows. ■

It follows from 3.4 that irreducible representations of θ -basic groups (with $\theta^2 = 1$) have degrees 1 or 2.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose $\pi_1 = Z/d \times \sigma_1$ as in (3.5). If σ_1 is a θ -basic group and ξ is a faithful irreducible character of degree two, then $\widehat{A}_m^r(\chi^r \otimes \xi) = 0$.*

Proof. If σ_1 has a faithful irreducible character ξ of degree 2, then σ_1 is non-cyclic. Let $\sigma_2 \subset \sigma_1$ be a cyclic subgroup of index 2. Then $b_0 \in \sigma_2$. Indeed, suppose if possible that $b_0 \notin \sigma_2$. Then conjugation with b_0 is a non-trivial automorphism of σ_2 and it has a square root $\theta_0 \in \text{Aut}(\sigma_1)$. One checks for $\sigma_1 = Q2^k, D2^k$ and $SD2^k$ that this cannot happen.

The restriction of ξ to $\sigma_0 = \langle b_0 \rangle$ is the sum $\xi_0 + \xi_0^w$ of a faithful linear character and a Galois conjugate. We can now use (2.16) or (2.21). Both character sums $\mu(\xi, b_0)$ and $|b_0|^{-1} \sum (-1)^i \xi(b_0^i)$ are zero (mod 2), so regardless of the type of ξ (w.r.t. β_0) the Arf-class vanishes. ■

Now we can eliminate the non-linear characters.

Proposition 3. 8. For $\xi \in \text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(\sigma_1)$, $\hat{A}_m^r(\chi^r \otimes \xi) = 0$ unless ξ is a linear character.

Proof. If ξ is faithful then we are done by (3. 6) or 3. 7. If ξ is non-faithful but $w(\ker \xi) = 1$, then the projection map

$$\mathbb{Z}/d \times \sigma_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d \times (\sigma_1/\ker \xi)$$

induces a map of rings with anti-structure. Since the summand corresponding to ξ in $K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\pi_1))$ is mapped isomorphically by this projection, we are reduced to the previous case.

Finally, if $w(\ker \xi) \neq 1$ then let $\sigma_1^+ = \ker w$ and note that $\xi^+ = \text{Res}(\xi)$ is irreducible on σ_1^+ . Now we finish by considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_1^+, \beta_0, b_0) & \xrightarrow{I_*} & L_m^K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \sigma_1, \beta_0, b_0) \\ \downarrow d_m^K & & \downarrow d_m^K \\ \hat{H}^m(\text{Hom}(R(\pi_1^+)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^x), \beta_0) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_*} & \hat{H}^m(\text{Hom}(R(\pi_1)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^x), \beta_0), \end{array}$$

where the map I_* is an isomorphism by reduction (§ 1, (i)). ■

We would also like to express the answer for the linear characters in terms of the sub-types O, U or Sp introduced earlier. This will allow us to state the main result 1. 16 in an invariant way.

Given a linear character $\xi : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. We say ξ has order 2^l if $\xi(\sigma_1)$ is cyclic of order 2^l . Choose $g_1 \in \sigma_1$ such that $\xi(g_1)$ generates $\xi(\sigma_1)$. Then ξ has type I when $\xi(\theta_0(g_1^{-1})) = w(g_1) \xi(g_1)$.

Theorem 3. 9. Let $\xi \in \text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(\sigma_1)$. For each $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times$,

$$\hat{A}_{2n}^r(\text{Res}_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(\chi^j \otimes \xi)) \neq 0 \in \hat{H}^0(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\chi^r \otimes \xi)^x, \beta_0)$$

if and only if $j = r$, and the character ξ is linear and has: type I and $\xi(b_0) = (-1)^n$; or type U of order 2^l and $\xi(b_0^{2^l-1}) = -1$.

Proof. If $\hat{A}_{2n}^r(\chi^j \otimes \xi) \neq 0$ then $j = r$ (cf. Section 2) and ξ is linear by 3. 8. Suppose ξ has order 2^l and choose v so that $\text{Res}_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(\xi)$ is Galois conjugate to $\xi_0^{2^{k-v}}$ with the notation of 2. 13. Note that $v \leq l$ since $\xi(b_0)^{2^l} = 1$.

If ξ has type I then

$$|b_0|^{-1} \sum (-1)^i \xi(b_0^i) = \langle \text{Res}_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(\xi), \xi_0^{2^{k-1}} \rangle = 1$$

precisely when $v = 1$, i.e. when $\xi(b_0) = -1$.

If ξ has type U then

$$\mu(\xi, b_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v=l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and case $v=l$ is equivalent to $\xi(b_0^{2^{l-1}}) = -1$. Apply 2. 16 to complete the proof. ■

The odd Arf-classes

$$\hat{A}_{2n+1}^r \in \hat{H}^1(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_1)(d), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0)$$

can be calculated in a similar fashion, using 2. 21 instead of 2. 16. We leave for the reader to prove

Proposition 3. 10. *Let $\xi \in \text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(\sigma_1)$. Then $\hat{A}_{2n+1}^r(\text{Res}_{\pi_0}^{\pi_1}(\chi^j \otimes \xi)) \neq 0$ if and only if $j=r$, ξ is linear of type I and $\xi(b_0) = (-1)^n$. ■*

Remark 3. 11. Note as in 1. 17 that our conclusions 3. 9 and 3. 10 are independent of the choice of scaling elements in 1. 7 and 2. 6. ■

We conclude by observing that for 2-elementary groups the map

$$\Psi_n(d) : L_n^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_n^K(\hat{S}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0)$$

is detected by the discriminant. This is clear for n odd (even in the 2-hyerelementary case) since

$$d_n^K : L_n^K(\hat{S}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^1(K_1(\hat{S}_2(d)), \beta_0)$$

is injective. But d_{2m}^K is not injective in general.

Let $\xi \in \text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(\sigma_1)$. The irreducible representation $\Phi = \chi^r \otimes \xi$ gives a direct, β_0 -invariant simple summand $S_2(\Phi)$ of $\hat{S}_2(d)$ and d_n^K decomposes into the corresponding sum of $d_n^K(\Phi)$.

If $(S_2(\Phi), \beta_0, b)$ has type O and $S_2(\Phi)$ has trivial Schur index (i.e. type OK) then $d_0^K(\psi)$ has kernel $\mathbb{Z}/2$, detected by the Hasse-invariant, cf. [W1]. In all other cases $d_0^K(\Phi)$ is injective. Similar for $d_2^K(\psi)$ where the kernel $\mathbb{Z}/2$ appears for type SpK.

Lemma 3. 12. *For Φ of type OK, $\Psi_0(d)$ and $d_0^K(\Phi) \circ \Psi_0(d)$ have isomorphic images.*

Proof. Kolster [K1], 4. 11, has shown that in type OK there is a β_0 -invariant maximal order $\mathcal{M}_2(\Phi) \subset S_2(\Phi)$. Hence the question reduces to the study of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0^K(\hat{A}_2, 1, 1) & \longrightarrow & L_0^K(\hat{E}_2, 1, 1) \\ \downarrow d_0^K & & \downarrow d_0^K \\ \hat{A}_2^\times / \hat{A}_2^{\times 2} & \longrightarrow & \hat{E}_2^\times / \hat{E}_2^{\times 2}, \end{array}$$

where \hat{E}_2 is a 2-local field with integers \hat{A}_2 . The left hand vertical map is an isomorphism, [W2], and the lower map is an injection. ■

Lemma 3. 12 and its counterpart for type SpK representation give

Corollary 3. 13. *The maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_m(d) &: L_m^K(\hat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow L_m^K(\hat{S}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0), \\ d_m^K \circ \Psi_m(d) &: L_m^K(R_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^m(K_1(\hat{S}_2(d)), \beta_0) \end{aligned}$$

have the same kernels.

§ 4. The 2-hyerelementary case

In this section we state and prove our main theorem 1. 16 which calculates the map

$$(4. 1) \quad \Psi_m(d) : L_m^K(\hat{Z}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(d) \longrightarrow L_m^K(\hat{Q}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(d)$$

for a 2-hyerelementary group $\pi = \mathbb{Z}/d \rtimes \sigma$. It turns out Ψ_m is injective for π if and only if it is injective for the 2-elementary subgroup π_1 . By 2. 10 and 3. 13 it is equivalent to show that the discriminant at a character $\text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \xi)$ for π is non-trivial if and only if the discriminant at $\chi \otimes \xi$ for π_1 is non-trivial.

Before considering the passage from π_1 to π , we recall a few general facts about the relationship between representations of π and of π_1 . Suppose more generally that $A \triangleleft G$ and let $\psi \in \text{irr}_C(A)$. The group G/A acts on ψ by conjugation ($\psi^g(a) = \psi(g a g^{-1})$). We also have the Galois action of Ω_2 on ψ . To relate them, define

$$G_2(\psi) = \{g \in G/A \mid \psi^g = \omega_g \psi \text{ for some } \omega_g \in \Omega_2\}.$$

Note that $g \rightarrow \omega_g$ defines a homomorphism of $G_2(\psi)$ into $\Omega_2/(\Omega_2)_\psi$, the Galois group of $\hat{Q}_2(\psi)/\hat{Q}_2$.

Lemma 4. 2. *If the induced character $\psi^* = \text{Ind}_A^G(\psi)$ is irreducible, then*

$$\hat{Q}_2(\psi^*) = \hat{Q}_2(\psi)^{G_2(\psi)}.$$

Proof. Since $\psi^*(a) = \sum \psi^g(a)$, $\hat{Q}_2(\psi^*) \subseteq \text{Tr}(\hat{Q}_2(\psi)) = \hat{Q}_2(\psi)^{G_2(\psi)}$. If

$$\omega \in \text{Gal}(\hat{Q}_2(\psi)/\hat{Q}_2(\psi^*))$$

then $(\omega\psi)^* = \psi^*$ so $\text{Res}_A((\omega\psi)^*) = \text{Res}_A(\psi^*)$, i.e. $\sum (\omega\psi)^* = \sum \psi^g$. Since ψ^* is irreducible, $\{(\omega\psi)^* \mid g \in G/A\}$ is a set of distinct irreducible characters (calculate $\langle (\omega\psi)^*, \psi^* \rangle$!), and ω acts on it by a permutation. Hence $\omega \cdot \psi = \psi^h$ for some $h \in G$, so $h \in G_2(\psi)$ and $\omega = \omega_h \in G(\psi)$. ■

Next recall from [S 1], § 9, that

$$\text{Ind}_{\pi_1}^\pi : \text{irr}_C(\pi_1)(d) \longrightarrow \text{irr}_C(\pi)(d)$$

is surjective, and induces a bijection from the set of orbits under the free action of σ/σ_1 on $\text{irr}_C(\pi_1)(d)$.

Given $\psi^* \in \text{irr}_C(\pi)(d)$, $\text{Res}_{\pi_1}^{\pi} \psi^* = \sum \psi^g$ with $g \in \sigma/\sigma_1$; each ψ^g is irreducible and induces up to ψ^* . It can happen that $\psi^* \in \text{irr}_C^0(\pi)(d)$ but $\psi \notin \text{irr}_C^0(\pi_1)(d)$. However,

$$\psi \in \text{irr}_C^0(\pi_1)(d) \Leftrightarrow \psi^g \in \text{irr}_C^0(\pi_1)(d),$$

and ψ has type I or II if and only if the same is true for each ψ^g .

Lemma 4.3. *The extension $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\psi)/\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\psi^*)$ is unramified.*

Proof. Since $\Omega_2 = \langle 2 \rangle \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2^\times$, $G_2(\psi)$ maps into the subgroup $\langle 2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}/d^\times$ under the characteristic map $t: \sigma/\sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d^\times$. Thus the Galois group for the residue field extension is isomorphic to $G_2(\psi)$. ■

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\psi \in \text{irr}_C^0(\pi_1)(d)$ and let $\psi^* = \text{Ind}_{\pi_1}^{\pi}(\psi)$. Then ψ has type I if and only if ψ^* has type I.*

Proof. Suppose $\beta_0(\psi^*) = \psi^*$. Restrict to π_1 to get

$$\beta_0(\sum \psi^g) = \sum \psi^g, \quad g \in \sigma/\sigma_1$$

and hence $\beta_0(\psi) = \psi^h$ for some $h \in \sigma/\sigma_1$. Since β_0 acts trivially on \mathbb{Z}/d ,

$$\beta_0(\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}/d}^{\pi_1}(\psi)) = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}/d}^{\pi_1}(\psi).$$

But $\sigma/\sigma_1 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times$ and $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}/d}^{\pi_1}(\psi)$ is faithful, so $\beta_0(\psi) = \psi$. The other implication is obvious. ■

Theorem 4.5. *The “discriminant” from (2.1)*

$$d_m^K: L_m^K(\widehat{R}_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) \longrightarrow \widehat{H}^m(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi)(d), \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2^\times), \beta_0)$$

is either injective or zero. It is injective for $m \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$. For $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ it is injective if and only if there exists $\xi \in \text{irr}_C^0(\sigma_1)(d)$ which satisfies one of the conditions in 3.9 or 3.10.

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{2n}^K(R_2(d), \beta_0, b_0) & \xrightarrow{d} & \widehat{H}^0(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi)(d), \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2^\times); \beta_0) \\ \uparrow i_{1*} & & \uparrow \widehat{H}_0(\text{Res}_*) \\ L_{2n}^K(\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]\sigma_1, \beta_{0_2}, b_0) & \xrightarrow{d^1} & \widehat{H}^0(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_1)(d), \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2^\times); \beta_0) \\ \uparrow i_{0*} & & \uparrow \widehat{H}^0(\text{Res}_*) \\ L_{2n}^K(\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]\sigma_0, \beta_0, b_0) & \xrightarrow{d^0} & \widehat{H}^0(\text{Hom}_{\Omega_2}(R(\pi_0)(d), \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2^\times); \beta_0). \end{array}$$

We know the left-hand vertical maps by 2. 10: i_{0*} is bijective and i_{1*} is split surjective. The $|(\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times : \langle 2 \rangle|$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in $L_{2n}^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]_{\sigma_1, \beta_0, b_0})$ map into the homomorphisms

$$(\text{Res}_{\pi_0}^{\pi_1})_* (\widehat{A}_{2n}^r) : R(\pi_1)(d) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2^\times$$

where the \widehat{A}_{2n}^r are the basic Arf homomorphisms from (2. 12).

We first do the case n odd. The cohomology class \widehat{A}_2^r was given in 2. 16. Let $\xi \in \text{irr}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(\sigma_1)(d)$, $\psi = \chi^r \otimes \xi$ and $\psi^* = \text{Ind}_{\pi_1}^{\pi_0}(\psi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^r(\psi^*) &= A_2^r(\sum \psi^g) && (g \in \sigma/\sigma_1) \\ &= A_2^r(\sum_{\bar{g}} \sum_{\omega} \omega \cdot \psi^{\bar{g}}) && (\bar{g} \in (\sigma/\sigma_1)/G_2(\psi), \omega \in G_2(\psi)) \\ &= \prod_g N_{\bar{g}}(A_2^r(\psi^{\bar{g}})), \end{aligned}$$

where $N_{\bar{g}} : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\psi^{\bar{g}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\psi^*)$ is the norm. Since $\psi^{\bar{g}} = \chi^{r\bar{g}} \otimes \xi^{\bar{g}}$ and $r\bar{g} \neq r$ in $(\sigma/\sigma_1)/\langle 2 \rangle$, $A_2^r(\psi^{\bar{g}}) = 1$ except if $\bar{g} = 1$. This gives

$$\widehat{A}_2^r(\psi^*) = N(\widehat{A}_2^r(\psi)) \in \widehat{H}^0(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\psi^*), \beta_0).$$

If ξ has type I then $\widehat{A}_2^r(\psi) \in U_L^{2e}/U_L^{2e+1}$ is non-trivial with $L = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\psi)$. By 4. 3, 4. 4 and (C3), $\widehat{A}_2^r(\psi^*) \neq 0$. If ξ has type II, then ψ^* has type II by 4. 4 and using (C1), $\widehat{A}_2^r(\psi^*) \neq 0$. In all other cases $\widehat{A}_2^r(\psi^*) = 0$.

For n even the situation is simpler. In (2. 12), $\widehat{A}_0^r(\chi^r \otimes 1) = 1 - 4\delta$, so

$$A_0^r(\text{Ind}_{\pi_1}^{\pi_0}(\chi^r \otimes 1)) = 1 - 4\delta \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\zeta_d)^{\sigma/\sigma_1}.$$

Its cohomology class is non-trivial.

The argument for m odd is similar but easier. We use (2. 18) as the main diagram and note that $\widehat{H}^1(\text{Ind}_1^*)$ is injective by 4. 4. But i_1^* is also injective by 2. 17, and so d is injective if and only if d_1 is injective. This completes the proof. ■

We have now proved our main result, Theorem 1. 16. Indeed, it was remarked after 2. 6 that $L_i^K(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \pi, \beta, b)(d) = 0$ unless the element g_0 exists satisfying (1. 15). The rest of the theorem is contained in 3. 9, 3. 10 and 4. 5.

References

- [A] C. Arf, Untersuchungen über quadratische Formen in Körpern der Charakteristik 2, Teil I, J. reine angew. Math. **183** (1941), 148—167.
- [AG] M. Auslander, O. Goldman, The Brauer group of a commutative ring, Trans. AMS **97** (1960), 367—409.
- [Bak] A. Bak, Arf's Theorem for trace noetherian and other rings, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **14** (1979), 1—20.
- [BK] A. Bak and M. Kolster, The computation of odd dimensional projective surgery groups of finite groups, Topology **21** (1982), 35—63.
- [C] F. Clauwens, L-theory and the Arf invariant, Invent. Math. **30** (1975), 197—206.
- [Fr] A. Fröhlich, Arithmetic and Galois module over arithmetic orders, J. reine angew. Math. **286/287** (1976), 380—440.

- [Fr-Mc] *A. Fröhlich and A. M. McEvet*, Forms over rings with involution, *J. Algebra* **12** (1969), 79—104.
- [HM1] *I. Hambleton and I. Madsen*, Actions of finite groups on \mathbb{R}^{n+k} with fixed set \mathbb{R}^k , *Canadian J. Math.* **38** (1986), 781—860.
- [HM2] *I. Hambleton and I. Madsen*, On the calculation of the projective surgery obstruction groups, in preparation.
- [HRT] *I. Hambleton, A. Ranicki and L. Taylor*, Round *L*-theory, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **47** (1987), 131—154.
- [HTW1] *I. Hambleton, L. Taylor and B. Williams*, An introduction to the maps between surgery obstruction groups, *Algebraic Topology, Aarhus 1982*, 49—127, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1051**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1984.
- [HTW2] *I. Hambleton, L. Taylor and B. Williams*, Detection theorems in *K*- and *L*-theory, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.*, to appear.
- [K1] *M. Kolster*, Computations of Witt groups of finite groups, *Math. Ann.* **241** (1979), 129—158.
- [K2] *M. Kolster*, Even-dimensional projective surgery groups of finite groups, *Algebraic K-Theory: Proceedings, Oberwolfach 1980, Part II*, 239—279, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **976**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1982.
- [LM] *E. Laitinen and I. Madsen*, The *L*-theory of groups with periodic cohomology, I, *Aarhus preprint* **14**, 1981/82.
- [O'M] *O. T. O'Meara*, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1963.
- [Ro] *P. Roquette*, Realisierung von Darstellungen endlicher nilpotenter Gruppen, *Archiv der Math.* **9** (1958), 241—250.
- [S1] *J. P. Serre*, *Linear representations of finite groups*, *Grad. Texts in Math.* **42**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1977.
- [S2] *J. P. Serre*, *Local Fields*, *Grad. Texts in Math.* **67**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1979.
- [W1] *C. T. C. Wall*, On the classification of hermitian forms, III: Complete semilocal rings, *Invent. Math.* **19** (1973), 59—71.
- [W2] *C. T. C. Wall*, On the classification of hermitian forms, VI: Group rings, *Ann. of Math.* **103** (1976), 1—80.
- [Wi] *E. Witt*, Die algebraische Struktur des Gruppenrings einer endlichen Gruppe über einem Zahlkörper, *J. reine angew. Math.* **190** (1952), 231—245.

Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Matematisk Institut, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

Eingegangen 18. März 1988