### Math 2Z03 - Tutorial #4



Oct. 5th, 6th, 7th, 2015

#### **Tutorial Info:**

- Tutorial Website: http://ms.mcmaster.ca/~dedieula/2Z03.html
- Office Hours: Mondays 3pm 5pm (in the Math Help Centre)



• 3.1 Theory of Linear Equations



- 3.1 Theory of Linear Equations
  - Linearly Independence



- 3.1 Theory of Linear Equations
  - □ Linearly Independence
  - $\square$  Wronskian



- 3.1 Theory of Linear Equations
  - □ Linearly Independence
  - D Wronskian
  - Fundamental Set of Solutions



- 3.1 Theory of Linear Equations
  - □ Linearly Independence
  - Wronskian
  - Fundamental Set of Solutions
  - General Solution



- 3.1 Theory of Linear Equations
  - Linearly Independence
  - Wronskian
  - □ Fundamental Set of Solutions
  - General Solution

#### • 3.3 Homogeneous Linear Equations with Constant Coefficients



- 3.1 Theory of Linear Equations
  - Linearly Independence
  - Wronskian
  - Fundamental Set of Solutions
  - General Solution
- 3.3 Homogeneous Linear Equations with Constant Coefficients
  - Finding Rational Roots



- 3.1 Theory of Linear Equations
  - □ Linearly Independence
  - Wronskian
  - Fundamental Set of Solutions
  - General Solution
- 3.3 Homogeneous Linear Equations with Constant Coefficients
  - Finding Rational Roots
  - Finding Complex Roots



I. Is the set of functions {1+x,x,x<sup>2</sup>} linearly independent on (-∞,∞)?



- I. Is the set of functions {1+x,x,x<sup>2</sup>} linearly independent on (-∞,∞)?
- **Recall:** A set of functions  $f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x)$  are **linearly independent** on an interval *I* if  $c_1f_1(x) + \cdots + c_nf_n(x) = 0$  for all *x* in *I*  $\iff$  $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0.$



- I. Is the set of functions {1+x,x,x<sup>2</sup>} linearly independent on (-∞,∞)?
- **Recall:** A set of functions  $f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x)$  are **linearly independent** on an interval *I* if  $c_1f_1(x) + \cdots + c_nf_n(x) = 0$  for all x in  $I \iff c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$ .
- Criterion for Linear Independent Solutions: Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  be solutions of a homogeneous linear *n*-th order DE on an interval *I*. Then this set of solutions is linearly independent on *I* if and only if the Wronskian  $W(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \neq 0$  for every *x* in *I*.

• 2. Suppose  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $f_3$  are solutions to a second-order linear homogeneous differential equation. Is  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$  a fundamental set of solutions?



- 2. Suppose  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $f_3$  are solutions to a second-order linear homogeneous differential equation. Is  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$  a fundamental set of solutions?
- **Recall:** A basis for the space of solutions of an *n*-th order homogenous linear equation  $a_n y^{(n)} + \cdots + a_1 y' + a_0 = 0$  is called a **fundamental set of solutions**.

We know the dimension of the solution space is n, so to find a basis, it suffices to find n linearly independent solutions.



• 3. The functions  $e^t$  and  $te^t$  satisfy the differential equation y'' - 2y' + y = 0. Is  $y = c_1e^t + c_2te^t$  a general solution of this differential equation?



- 3. The functions  $e^t$  and  $te^t$  satisfy the differential equation y'' 2y' + y = 0. Is  $y = c_1e^t + c_2te^t$  a general solution of this differential equation?
- **Recall:** If  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$  is a fundamental set of solutions on *I* for an *n*-th order linear DE, then the **general solution** on *I* is  $y = c_1y_1 + \cdots + c_ny_n$ , where the  $c_i$  are arbitrary constants.



• 4. Find the general solution of  $y^{(3)} + 8y = 0$ .



- 4. Find the general solution of  $y^{(3)} + 8y = 0$ .
- **Recall:** To solve, first we plug  $y = e^{mx}$  into the equation and find the roots of the corresponding auxiliary equation.



- 4. Find the general solution of  $y^{(3)} + 8y = 0$ .
- **Recall:** To solve, first we plug  $y = e^{mx}$  into the equation and find the roots of the corresponding auxiliary equation.
- We can go about finding the roots of this auxiliary equation in a variety of ways.



• Method 1: Find one root d, then divide  $m^3 + 8$  by (m - d).



- Method 1: Find one root d, then divide  $m^3 + 8$  by (m d).
- By inspection, we can see that m = -2 is a root. A more systematic approach is given by the following:



- Method 1: Find one root d, then divide  $m^3 + 8$  by (m-d).
- By inspection, we can see that m = -2 is a root. A more systematic approach is given by the following:
- **Rational Roots Test (pg. 122):** If  $m_1 = \frac{p}{q}$  is a rational root (expressed in lowest terms) of an auxiliary equation with integer coefficients

$$a_n m^n + \ldots + a_1 m + a_0,$$

then p is a factor of  $a_0$  and q is a factor of  $a_n$ .



• Method 2: Finding roots over C: Given auxiliary equation

 $a_nm^n+\ldots+a_1m+a_0,$ 

we know there will be *n* roots over the complex numbers (with some multiplicity). By working in polar coordinates, we can find these roots  $re^{i\theta}$  (think back to Math 1ZC3/1B03).



• Method 2: Finding roots over C: Given auxiliary equation

 $a_n m^n + \ldots + a_1 m + a_0$ ,

we know there will be *n* roots over the complex numbers (with some multiplicity). By working in polar coordinates, we can find these roots  $re^{i\theta}$  (think back to Math 1ZC3/1B03).

• Exercise Find the five roots of  $m^5 + 32 = 0$ , and use this to solve  $y^{(5)} + 32y = 0$ .

#### Recall:

- □ If there are *j* distinct roots  $m_1, ..., m_j$  then the general solution contains a linear combination of  $e^{m_1 x}, ..., e^{m_j x}$ .
- □ If  $m_1$  is a root of multiplicity q, then then general solution contains a lineae combination of  $e^{m_1x}$ ,  $xe^{m_1x}$ ,  $x^2e^{m_1x}$ , ...,  $x^{q-1}e^{m_1x}$ .
- □ Given complex roots  $m_1 = \alpha + \beta$  and  $m_2 = \alpha \beta$ , using Euler's formula, it's always possible to write  $c_1 e^{m_1 x} + c_2 e^{m_2 x}$  as  $e^{\alpha x} [k_1 \cos(\beta x) + k_2 \sin(\beta x)]$ , for constants  $c_1, c_2, k_1, k_2$ .



**5.** Solve the IVP y'' + 2y' = 0, y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 1.



- **5.** Solve the IVP y'' + 2y' = 0, y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 1.
- 6. Find a general solution for  $6y^{(4)} y''' + 4y'' y' 2y = 0$ .

