Numerical Optimization of Partial Differential Equations Part III: applications

Bartosz Protas

Department of Mathematics & Statistics McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada URL: http://www.math.mcmaster.ca/bprotas

Rencontres Normandes sur les aspects théoriques et numériques des EDP 5–9 November 2018, Rouen

Optimal Open–Loop Control

PDE–Constrained Optimization Determination of the Gradient $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\mathcal{J}$ via Adjoint System Results

Inverse Problem of Vortex Reconstruction

Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results

Geometry Optimization in Heat Transfer

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{PDE-Constrained Optimization} \\ \textbf{Determination of the Gradient } \nabla \mathcal{J} \text{ via Adjoint System} \\ \textbf{Results} \end{array}$

PART I Optimal Open–Loop Control Via Adjoint–Based Optimization

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{PDE-Constrained Optimization} \\ \textbf{Determination of the Gradient } \nabla \mathcal{J} \text{ via Adjoint System} \\ \textbf{Results} \end{array}$

Motivation — Applications of Flow Control

Wake Hazard



Fluid–Structure Interaction



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{PDE-Constrained Optimization} \\ \textbf{Determination of the Gradient } \nabla \mathcal{J} \text{ via Adjoint System} \\ \textbf{Results} \end{array}$

Statement of the Problem (I)



- Assumptions:
 - viscous, incompressible flow
 - plane, infinite domain
 - ▶ *Re* = 150

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{PDE-Constrained Optimization} \\ \textbf{Determination of the Gradient } \nabla \mathcal{J} \text{ via Adjoint System} \\ \textbf{Results} \end{array}$

Statement of the Problem (II)

• Find $\dot{\varphi}_{opt} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\dot{\varphi}} \mathcal{J}(\dot{\varphi})$, where

$$\mathcal{J}(\dot{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \text{power related to} \\ \text{the drag force} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \text{power needed to} \\ \text{control the flow} \end{bmatrix} \right\} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \oint_{\Gamma_0} \left\{ [p(\dot{\varphi})\mathbf{n} - \mu\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}(\dot{\varphi}))] \cdot [\dot{\varphi}(\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{v}_\infty] \right\} d\sigma dt$$

Subject to:

$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla p \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{at } t = 0, \\ \mathbf{v} = \dot{\varphi}_{opt} \tau & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{PDE-Constrained Optimization} \\ \textbf{Determination of the Gradient } \nabla \mathcal{J} \text{ via Adjoint System} \\ \textbf{Results} \end{array}$

Abstract Framework (I)

Constrained optimization problem

$$\left\{egin{array}{l} \min_{(x,arphi)} ilde{\mathcal{J}}(x,arphi) \ S(x(arphi),arphi) = 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

► Equivalent UNCONSTRAINED optimization problem (note that x = x(φ))
min *T̃*(x(φ), φ) = min *T*(φ)

$$\min_{\varphi} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(x(\varphi),\varphi) = \min_{\varphi} \mathcal{J}(\varphi)$$

First-Order OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS (U - Hilbert space of controls)
 ∀_{φ'∈U} J'(φ; φ') = (∇J, φ')_U = 0,

with the $\ensuremath{\mathrm{G}\hat{\mathrm{A}}}\ensuremath{\mathrm{TEAUX}}$ differential

$$\mathcal{J}'(\varphi;\varphi') = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\mathcal{J}(\varphi + \epsilon \varphi') - \mathcal{J}(\varphi)].$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{PDE-Constrained Optimization} \\ \textbf{Determination of the Gradient } \nabla \mathcal{J} \text{ via Adjoint System} \\ \textbf{Results} \end{array}$

Abstract Framework (II)

• Minimization of $\mathcal{J}(\varphi)$ with a DESCENT ALGORITHM in \mathcal{U} \implies solution to a STEADY STATE of the ODE in \mathcal{U}

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau} = -\mathcal{Q}\nabla_{\varphi}\mathcal{J}(\varphi) & \text{on } \tau \in (0,\infty) \text{ (pseudo-time)}, \\ \varphi = \varphi_0 & \text{at } \tau = 0. \end{cases}$$

- Typically well-behaved (quadratic) cost functionals
- ► Typically ill-behaved constraints: THE NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM
 - nonlinear, nonlocal, multiscale, evolutionary PDE,
- Dimensions:
 - \blacktriangleright state: $10^6 10^7 \; \text{DoF} \, \times \, 10^2 10^3$ time levels
 - \blacktriangleright control: $10^4 10^5 \; \text{DoF} \, \times \, 10^2 10^3$ time levels
- No hope of using "matrix" formulation ...
- Formulation equivalent to Lagrange Multipliers

PDE–Constrained Optimization Determination of the Gradient $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ via Adjoint System Results

Differential of the Cost Functional

► The cost functional:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}(\dot{\varphi}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \text{power related to} \\ \text{the drag force} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \text{power needed to} \\ \text{control the flow} \end{bmatrix} \right\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \oint_{\Gamma_0} \left\{ [p(\dot{\varphi})\mathbf{n} - \mu\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}(\dot{\varphi}))] \cdot [\dot{\varphi}(\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{v}_\infty] \right\} d\sigma dt, \end{split}$$

• Expression for the Gâteaux differential:

$$\mathcal{J}'(\dot{\varphi};h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \oint_{\Gamma_0} \left\{ \left[p'(h)\mathbf{n} - \mu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{v}'(h) \right) \right] \cdot \left[\dot{\varphi} \left(\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{r} \right) + \mathbf{v}_\infty \right] + \left[p(\dot{\varphi})\mathbf{n} - \mu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{v}(\dot{\varphi})) \right] \cdot \left(\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{r} \right) h \right\} d\sigma \, dt = B_1$$
$$= \left(\nabla \mathcal{J}(t), h \right)_{L_2([0,T])}$$

The fields $\{\mathbf{v}'(h), p'(h)\}$ solve the linearized perturbation system. • How to calculate the GRADIENT $\nabla \mathcal{J}$?

PDE–Constrained Optimization Determination of the Gradient $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ via Adjoint System Results

Sensitivities and Adjoint States

The linearized perturbation system

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}' \\ p' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}' + (\mathbf{v}' \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} - \mu\Delta\mathbf{v}' + \nabla p' \\ -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{v}' = 0 & \text{at } t = 0, \\ \mathbf{v}' = h\tau & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T) \end{cases}$$

Duality pairing defining the adjoint operator

$$\left\langle \mathcal{N} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v}'\\ p' \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v}^*\\ p^* \end{array} \right] \right\rangle_{L_2(0,T;L_2(\Omega))} = \left\langle \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v}'\\ p' \end{array} \right], \mathcal{N}^* \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v}^*\\ p^* \end{array} \right] \right\rangle_{L_2(0,T;L_2(\Omega))} + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2$$

► The adjoint system (TERMINAL VALUE PROBLEM !!)

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}^* \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}^* \\ p^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^*}{\partial t} - \mathbf{v} \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{v}^* + (\nabla \mathbf{v}^*)^T] - \mu \Delta \mathbf{v}^* + \nabla p^* \\ -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{0} & \text{at } t = T, \\ \mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{r} \times (\dot{\varphi} \mathbf{e}_z) + \mathbf{v}_{\infty} & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T) \end{cases}$$

PDE–Constrained Optimization Determination of the Gradient $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ via Adjoint System Results

Cost Functional Gradient

The ADJOINT STATE and DUALITY PAIRING can now be used to re-express the cost functional differential as:

$$\mathcal{J}'(\dot{\varphi};h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \oint_{\Gamma} \{ \mu R \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}^*) \cdot \tau + \mu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}(\dot{\varphi})) \cdot (\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{r}) \} \ h \, d\sigma \, dt$$

► Identification of the COST FUNCTIONAL GRADIENT

$$\mathcal{J}'(\dot{\varphi};h) = (\nabla \mathcal{J}(t),h)_{L_2([0,T])} = \int_0^T \nabla \mathcal{J}(t) h \, dt$$
$$\nabla \mathcal{J}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Gamma} \{\mu R \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}^*) \cdot \tau + \mu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}(\dot{\varphi})) \cdot (\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{r})\} \, d\sigma$$

PDE–Constrained Optimization Determination of the Gradient $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ via Adjoint System Results

Optimality (KKT) system

• Complete optimality system for $\dot{\varphi}_{opt}$, $[\mathbf{v}_{opt}, p_{opt}]$, and $[\mathbf{v}^*, p^*]$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\Gamma} \left\{ \mu R \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}^{*}) \cdot \tau + \mu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}(\dot{\varphi}_{opt})) \cdot (\mathbf{e}_{z} \times \mathbf{r}) \right\} d\sigma = 0 \\ \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla p \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{at } t = 0, \\ \mathbf{v} = \dot{\varphi}_{opt} \tau & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}^{*} \\ p^{*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^{*}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{v} \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{v}^{*} + (\nabla \mathbf{v}^{*})^{T}] - \mu \Delta \mathbf{v}^{*} + \nabla p^{*} \\ -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{v}^{*} = 0 & \text{at } t = T, \\ \mathbf{v}^{*} = \mathbf{r} \times (\dot{\varphi}_{opt} \mathbf{e}_{z}) + \mathbf{v}_{\infty} & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

- A counterpart of the Euler–Lagrange equation
- Solved with an iterative Gradient Algorithm (e.g., Conjugate Gradients, quasi-Newton, etc.)

PDE–Constrained Optimization Determination of the Gradient $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ via Adjoint System Results

An Iterative Optimization Procedure

- 0. provide initial guess $\dot{\varphi}^0$
- 1. Solve for $\{\mathbf{v}(\dot{\varphi}^i); p(\dot{\varphi}^i)\}$ on [0, T]
- 2. Solve for $\{\mathbf{v}^{*}(\dot{\varphi}^{i}); p^{*}(\dot{\varphi}^{i})\}$ on [0, T]
- 3. Use $\{\mathbf{v}(\dot{\varphi}^i); p(\dot{\varphi}^i)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{v}^*(\dot{\varphi}^i); p^*(\dot{\varphi}^i)\}$ to compute $\nabla \mathcal{J}^i(t)$ on [0, T]
- 4. update control according to $\dot{\varphi}^{i+1}(t) = \dot{\varphi}^{i}(t) \alpha_{i}\gamma_{i}(\nabla \mathcal{J}(t))$
- 5. iterate 1. through 4. until convergence, i.e. until $\nabla J^{i}\left(t
 ight)\simeq0$

PDE–Constrained Optimization Determination of the Gradient $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ via Adjoint System Results

Primal and Adjoint Simulations for Cylinder Rotation as Control



PDE–Constrained Optimization Determination of the Gradient $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ via Adjoint System Results

Results



• Optimal Control $\dot{\varphi}_{opt}$, drag coefficient c_D , transverse velocity v







Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results

PART II Inverse Problem of Vortex Reconstruction

joint work lonut Danaila (Université de Rouen)

Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results

Ubiquitous Vortex Rings



Lim & Nickels, 1995



Danaila & Heiles, 2008

- Models of Vortex Rings:
 - based on linearized equations (Kaplanski & Rudi, 1999, 2005)
 - obtained with perturbation techniques (Fukumoto, 2010)
 - inviscid models: Hill's and Norbury-Fraenkel's vortices
- Present Approach:

Optimal Vortex Rings via Inverse Formulation

Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results

Inviscid vortex ring in a moving frame of reference





$$\frac{\omega}{r} = \begin{cases} f(\psi) & \text{in } \Omega_b, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

 $f(\psi)$ — Vorticity Function (unspecified)

3D Axisymmetric Euler System

$$\mathcal{L}\psi = -r f(\psi) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

 $\psi = 0 \qquad \text{on } \gamma.$

where $\mathcal{L} := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} := \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right]^{I}$.

Special solutions:

• $f(\psi) = C$ in $\Omega_b \implies$ Hill's vortex

► $f(\psi) = C \ \forall \psi > k \text{ and } f(\psi) = 0 \ \forall \psi \leq k \implies$ Norbury-Fraenkel's vortex

- ► KEY IDEA: determine vorticity function $f(\psi)$ to match some observation data \implies Inverse Problem
- Measurements of the tangential velocity component



$$m := \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}^{\perp} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}$$

on boundary segments γ_z and γ_b

Cost Functional

$$\mathcal{J}(f) := \frac{\alpha_b}{2} \int_{\gamma_b} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} \Big|_{\gamma_b} - m \right)^2 \, d\sigma + \frac{\alpha_z}{2} \int_{\gamma_z} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} \Big|_{\gamma_z} - m \right)^2 \, d\sigma,$$

- Variational Minimization Problem:
- $\hat{f} := \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in H^1(\mathcal{I})} \mathcal{J}(f)$
- nonnegativity constraint $f(\psi) \ge 0 \,\, orall \psi$

- Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results
- Inverse problem with unusual structure reconstruction of a nonlinear source term f(ψ)
- Assumptions
 - 1. domain: $f : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I} := [0, \psi_{\max}]$ identifiability interval
 - 2. smoothness: $f \in H^1(\mathcal{I})$ (square-integrable derivatives)
- Optimality condition: $\forall_{f' \in H^1(\mathcal{I})} \quad \mathcal{J}'(\hat{f}; f') = 0$
- Gradient iterations $\hat{f} = \lim_{k \to \infty} f^{(k)}$

$$f^{(k+1)} = f^{(k)} - \tau_k \nabla \mathcal{J}(f^{(k)}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$f^{(1)} = f_0,$$

 f_0 — initial guess, τ_k — step seize at k-th iteration

▶ Positivity enforcement via transformation $f_+ = (1/2)g^2, \qquad \mathcal{J}_g(g) := \mathcal{J}((1/2)g^2)$ Optimal Open–Loop Control Euler System & Inverse Formulation Inverse Problem of Vortex Reconstruction Geometry Optimization in Heat Transfer Results

 Gradient Expression — sensitivity of cost functional J(f) with respect to perturbations of the vorticity function f(ψ)

$$abla^{L_2}\mathcal{J}(s) = -\int_{\gamma_s} \psi^* r \, \left(rac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}
ight)^{-1} \, d\sigma, \quad s \in [0,\psi_{\mathsf{max}}].$$

 $\gamma_{\textbf{s}} := \{\textbf{x} \in \Omega \, : \, \psi(\textbf{x}) = \textbf{s}\} \text{ -- streamfunction level sets}$



B. Protas Numerical Optimization of PDEs

Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results

• ψ^* — solution of adjoint system

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi^*\right) + r f_{\psi}(\psi) \, \psi^* = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\psi^* = \alpha_b \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}\Big|_{\gamma_b} - m\right) \quad \text{on } \gamma_b,$$

$$\psi^* = \alpha_z \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}\Big|_{\gamma_z} - m\right) \quad \text{on } \gamma_z,$$

Smoothness ensured via Sobolev gradients:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}'(f;f') &= \left\langle \nabla^{L_2} \mathcal{J}(f), f' \right\rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{I})} \\ &= \left\langle \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J}(f), f' \right\rangle_{H^1(\mathcal{I})} \end{aligned} \implies \begin{pmatrix} \left(I - \ell^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \right) \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J} = \nabla^{L_2} \mathcal{J} & \text{ in } \mathcal{I}, \\ \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J} = 0 & \text{ at } s = 0, \\ \frac{d}{ds} \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J} = 0 & \text{ at } s = \psi_{\max}, \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm easily implemented in FreeFEM++

Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results

Reconstruction of Hill's Vortex



B. Protas N



Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results

Reconstruction of Vortex Rings from DNS Data (Re = 17,000)



Euler System & Inverse Formulation Solution Approach Results

Reconstruction of Vortex Rings from DNS Data (Re = 17,000)



Vorticity distribution in space

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

PART III Geometry Optimization in Heat Transfer

joint work Xiaohui Peng and Katya Niakhai (former Master's students at McMaster)

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

▶ PROBLEM: Efficient cooling of a battery system



- GOAL: determine optimal shape of cooling channels for a prescribed heat distribution
- Few mathematically precise results in literature
 meed to develop new tools

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

- 2D thermally isolated domain
- time-independent
- heat conduction only
- cooling channel line heat sink modelled with Newton's law of cooling

$$S = \gamma(u - u_0)$$

 u_0 — temperature of the coolant fluid in the channel modelled by the coil C,

$$u_0(s) = T_s + \frac{T_b - T_s}{L}s, \quad s \in [0, L],$$

 want to maintain prescribed temperature u
 in the subdomain A (revised optimization objective)





Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

Governing System

$$-k\Delta u_{1} = q \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{1},$$

$$-k\Delta u_{2} = q \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{2},$$

$$u_{1} = u_{2} \qquad \text{on } \mathcal{C}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial n} = \gamma (u_{1} - u_{0}) \qquad \text{on } \mathcal{C}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial n} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where

- Ω_1 the *interior* of the curve C,
- Ω_2 the *exterior* of the curve C,
- $u_i(\mathbf{x})$ is the temperature distribution u restricted in the domain Ω_i , for i = 1, 2,
- k is the heat conductivity coefficient (a known material property),
- q is the distribution of heat sources (battery heating),
- **n** are the unit outer normal on C and $\partial \Omega$

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

Assuming:

- a given distribution of heat sources $q(\mathbf{x})$,
- heat transfer described by governing equation,
- ▶ a fixed length $L = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} ds$ of the cooling channel \mathcal{C} ,

find the *shape* of the curve C which ensures that over the subdomain A the actual temperature u(x, y) is as close as possible to the prescribed temperature \overline{u}

Define

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} (u - \overline{u})^2 \, d\Omega$$

Formal statement of optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\mathcal{C}} \ \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}),\\ \text{subject to:} & \text{Governing System,}\\ & \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \ ds = L \end{array}$$

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

 \blacktriangleright Optimal shape $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ characterized by the condition

 $\mathcal{J}'(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Z}}) = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{0}} \quad \mathrm{for \ all \ shape \ perturbations \ } \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Z}}$

Gradient descent algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(n+1)} &= \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(n)} - \tau_n \, \mathbf{n} \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}^{(n)}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)} &= \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{C}_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}^{(n)})$ is the gradient of the cost functional



Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

- ▶ Problem of SHAPE OPTIMIZATION (contour geometry),
- ► SHAPE CALCULUS: parametrization of geometry

$$\mathbf{x}(t, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{Z}$$
 for $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{SL}(0)$,

where \mathbf{Z} : $\Omega_{SL} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is the perturbation "velocity" field. • Gâteaux Shape Differential

$$\mathcal{J}'(\Gamma_{SL}(0); \mathbf{Z}) \triangleq \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{J}(\Gamma_{SL}(t, \mathbf{Z})) - \mathcal{J}(\Gamma_{SL}(0))}{t}$$

Main Theorem [shape-differentiation of integrals w.r.t. the shape of the domain]:

$$\left(\int_{\Omega(t,\mathbf{Z})} f \, d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega(t,\mathbf{Z})} g \, ds\right)' = \int_{\Omega(0)} f' \, d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega(0)} g' \, ds + \int_{\partial\Omega(0)} \left(f + \varkappa g + \frac{\partial g}{\partial n}\right) \mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds,$$

• How to compute the gradient $\nabla \mathcal{J}$?

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

• L_2 Gradient $\nabla^{L_2} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}^{(n)})$ computed as follows

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{L_2} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}^{(n)}) = \frac{\gamma}{k} (u_1 - u_0) \left(\frac{\partial u_1^*}{\partial n} - \kappa \, u_1^* \right) - \frac{\gamma}{k} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial n} \, u_1^* - \lambda \, \kappa \quad \text{on } \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$$

where u_1^{\ast} and u_2^{\ast} are solutions of the following <code>ADJOINT SYSTEM</code>

$$\begin{split} k\Delta u_1^* &= (u - \overline{u}) \,\chi_{A_1} & \text{ in } \Omega_1, \\ k\Delta u_2^* &= (u - \overline{u}) \,\chi_{A_2} & \text{ in } \Omega_2, \\ u_1^* - u_2^* &= 0 & \text{ on } \mathcal{C}^{(n)}, \\ k\left(\frac{\partial u_2^*}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial u_1^*}{\partial n}\right) &= -\gamma \,u_1^* & \text{ on } \mathcal{C}^{(n)}, \\ \frac{\partial u_2^*}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2 \end{split}$$

▶ Optimal step size *τ_n* computed via line-minimization (using Brent's method)

$$au_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{ au > 0} \{ \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}^{(n)} - au \, \boldsymbol{
abla}(\mathcal{C}^{(n)}) \}$$

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

Incorporation of the Length Constraint

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} ds = L_0$$

Modified (augmented) cost functional:

$$\mathcal{J}_{lpha}(\mathcal{C}) \mathrel{\mathop:}= \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}) + rac{lpha}{2} \left(\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \, \textit{ds} - \textit{L}_0
ight)^2,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter

After shape-differentiating the constraint, modified gradient

$$\mathbf{\nabla}^{L_2}\mathcal{J}_lpha(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{\nabla}^{L_2}\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}) + lpha \, \left(\oint_{\mathcal{C}^{(m)}} d\mathbf{s} - L_0
ight) \, \kappa$$

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

- Gradients obtained using Riesz Representation Theorem $\mathcal{J}'(\mathcal{C};\zeta \mathbf{n}) = \left\langle \nabla^{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{J}, \zeta \right\rangle_{\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{C})}$
 - \mathcal{X} selected Hilbert space
- What is the required regularity of the gradients $\nabla \mathcal{J}$?
 - ► x_C(s) must be (at least) continuous
 - L₂ gradients ∇^{L₂} J(C) [X = L₂(C)] may be discontinuous ...
- ► Need Sobolev Gradients $[\mathcal{X} = H^1(\mathcal{C})]$ $\left\langle \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J}, \zeta \right\rangle_{H^1(\mathcal{C})} = \int_0^L \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J}\zeta + \ell^2 \frac{\partial \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J}}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial s} ds, \quad \forall_{\zeta \in H^1(\mathcal{C})}$ $\implies \begin{cases} \left(1 - \ell^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\right) \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J} = \nabla^{L_2} \mathcal{J} \quad \text{on } (0, L), \end{cases}$ Periodic boundary conditions (P1), $\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \nabla^{H^1} \mathcal{J} \right|_{s=0,L} = 0$ (P2).

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

Reformulation of the Governing System:

$$u = u_p + u_h \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $\forall_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega\setminus\mathcal{C}} \quad \underline{u}_{h}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \ln |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{C}}| \, \mu(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{C}}) \, d\sigma.$

▶ The new dependent variables $\{u_p(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \Omega; \mu(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in C\}$ satisfy

$$-k \Delta u_p = q \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\gamma}{2\pi k} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \ln |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{C}}| \, \mu(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{C}}) \, d\sigma = \frac{\gamma}{k} (u_p + u_h - u_0) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{C},$$

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial n} = -\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

• Analogously for the Adjoint System with $\{u_p^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \Omega; \mu^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}\}$

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

- Two coupled subproblems:
 - Poisson equation for u_p (resp., u_p^*)
 - Singular Boundary Integral Equation for μ (resp., μ^*)



Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

- Optimal discretization for each subproblem:
 - spectral Chebyshev method for u_p (resp., u_p^*) in Ω

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{N}\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{f}+\mathbf{q},$$

 spectral boundary-integral method with an analytic treatment of the singular kernel for μ (resp., μ*) on C

$$\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{\gamma}{k}\mathbf{K}_{1}+\frac{\gamma}{k}\mathbf{K}_{2}\right)\mathbf{m}+\frac{\gamma}{k}\mathbf{PU}=\frac{\gamma}{k}u_{0}\mathbf{1},$$

▶ spectral interpolation **P** to couple u_p and μ (resp., u_p^* and μ^*)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{N} & \mathbf{B} \\ \frac{\gamma}{k}\mathbf{P} & \mathbf{I} + \frac{\gamma}{k}\mathbf{K}_{1} + \frac{\gamma}{k}\mathbf{K}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{m} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{k} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ \gamma u_{0} \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results



CASE I: $\alpha = 0$







Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results















Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

CASE III: $\alpha = 0, 1, 10, 10^2, 10^3$; $L_0 = 2.3$



Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

Conclusions

- Formulation of PDE control and estimation problems as constrained optimization
 - PDE-constrained gradients via Adjoint Equations
 - Vorticity form of the adjoint equations
 - Optimization of free boundary problems via shape-differential calculus
- Inverse Problem of Vortex Reconstruction
 - Nonintuitive insights revealed by reconstruction from DNS data
 - Big Question: what are the fundamental accuracy limits for representation of real flows in terms of inviscid models?
- Shape-optimization approach for a model of 2D steady heat transfer
 - Shape calculus
 - Spectrally-accurate solution of the governing and adjoint PDE systems

Motivation & Mathematical Model Optimization Problem Results

▶ References Part I — Open-Loop Control:

- B. Protas, T. R. Bewley and G. Hagen, "A comprehensive framework for the regularization of adjoint analysis in multiscale PDE systems", *Journal of Computational Physics* 195(1), 49-89, 2004.
- B. Protas, "On the "Vorticity" Formulation of the Adjoint Equations and its Solution Using Vortex Method", *Journal of Turbulence* 3, 048, 2002.
- B. Protas and A. Styczek, "Optimal Rotary Control of the Cylinder Wake in the Laminar Regime", *Physics of Fluids* 14(7), 2073–2087, 2002.
- B. Protas, "Vortex Design Problem", Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 236, 1926–1946, 2012.

▶ References Part II — Inverse Problem of Vortex Reconstruction:

- V. Bukshtynov, O. Volkov, and B. Protas, "On Optimal Reconstruction of Constitutive Relations", *Physica D* 240, 1228–1244, 2011.
- V. Bukshtynov and B. Protas, "Optimal Reconstruction of Material Properties in Complex Multiphysics Phenomena", *Journal of Computational Physics* 242, 889–914, 2013.
- I. Danaila and B. Protas, "Optimal Reconstruction of Inviscid Vortices", Proceedings of the Royal Society A (in press), 2015.
- ▶ References Part III Shape Optimization:
 - X. Peng, K. Niakhai and B. Protas, "A Method for Geometry Optimization in a Simple Model of Two-Dimensional Heat Transfer", SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 35, B1105–B1131, 2013.